Case: 14-35555, 06/10/2015, ID: 9568667, DktEntry: 75, Page 1 of 4

No. 14-35555

## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

### ANNA J. SMITH,

Plaintiff–Appellant,

v.

BARACK OBAMA, et al.,

Defendant-Appellees.

On Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Idaho, Boise No. 2:13-cv-00257-BLW The Honorable B. Lynn Winmill, Chief District Judge

### MOTION TO AMEND SCHEDULE FOR SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING

On June 3, 2015, this Court ordered both parties to submit supplemental briefs by June 19 addressing the effect on this litigation of the USA FREEDOM Act of 2015, Pub. L. 114-23, \_\_\_\_\_ Stat. \_\_\_\_ (2015). Plaintiff–Appellant Anna Smith respectfully moves the Court to modify its order to permit her to file her brief on June 24, five days after the government's brief is due. The government has informed Ms. Smith that it takes no position on this request.

Staggered briefing is appropriate here because Ms. Smith's response to the Court's questions will turn on the representations the government makes in its

#### Case: 14-35555, 06/10/2015, ID: 9568667, DktEntry: 75, Page 2 of 4

submission. The Court asked the parties to address whether the lawsuit is moot given that "section 215 . . . has ceased to have effect," and whether Ms. Smith's request for a purge of her call records from the government's database is also moot. The appeal, however, does not include a challenge to section 215, but rather to the mass surveillance program that was operated under its purported authority. Whether the matter is moot will thus depend on, among other things, how the government chooses to conduct the program going forward, and how it intends to treat records previously collected, given the recent enactment of the USA FREEDOM Act. At present, that information resides solely with the government, and Ms. Smith cannot accurately assess the mootness questions until the government's intentions are presented.

DATED: June 10, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

By: <u>/s/Peter Smith</u> Peter J. Smith IV Lucas T. Malek SMITH + MALEK, PLLC 1250 W. Ironwood Drive, Suite 316 Coeur d'Alene, ID 83814

Cindy Cohn David Greene Hanni Fakhoury Andrew Crocker ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION 815 Eddy Street San Francisco, CA 94109 Case: 14-35555, 06/10/2015, ID: 9568667, DktEntry: 75, Page 3 of 4

Jameel Jaffer Alex Abdo Patrick Toomey AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION 125 Broad St., 18th Floor New York, NY 10004

Richard Alan Eppink AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION OF IDAHO FOUNDATION P.O. Box 1897 Boise, ID 83701

*Counsel for Plaintiff–Appellant ANNA J. SMITH* 

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court for the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit by using the appellate CM/ECF system on June 10, 2015.

I certify that all participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the appellate CM/ECF system.

DATED: June 10, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

By: <u>/s/ Peter Smith</u> Peter J. Smith IV LUKINS & ANNIS, P.S.

Counsel for Plaintiff-Appellant ANNA J. SMITH