

b6  
b7C

**From:** [redacted] (OCA) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Friday, March 16, 2007 1:20 PM  
**To:** [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Cc:** CAPRONI, VALERIE E. (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Another IMPORTANT CHANGE Re "Judiciary testimony"

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**NON-RECORD**

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
DATE 12-05-2007 BY 635179 DMH/KSR/JW

We've changed the year. Thanks.

b6  
b7C  
b2

[redacted]

1082884

Office of Congressional Affairs  
202-324-[redacted]

-----Original Message-----

**From:** [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Friday, March 16, 2007 1:15 PM  
**To:** [redacted] (OCA) (FBI)  
**Cc:** CAPRONI, VALERIE E. (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** Another IMPORTANT CHANGE Re "Judiciary testimony"  
**Importance:** High

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**NON-RECORD**

b6  
b7C

[redacted]

AGC [redacted] just drew to my attention an important point regarding page 11, in the paragraph that begins with the following sentence: "The IG found that from 2003 through 2006, the FBI had self-reported 26 potential violations involving NSL authorities."

[redacted] pointed out that the sentence should read "2003 through 2005."  
[See Table 6.1, on page 70 of the report.]

FYI, [redacted] further noted that, in 2006, there was a very substantial increase of potential IOBs reported to OGC.

Apologies for the last-minute comment. But, this one could not go unaddressed.

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----

**From:** [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Friday, March 16, 2007 10:44 AM  
**To:** [redacted] (OCA) (FBI)  
**Cc:** CAPRONI, VALERIE E. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** Follow-up Re IMPORTANT CHANGE Re "Judiciary testimony"  
**Importance:** High

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**NON-RECORD**

[Redacted]

Thanks for making the change.

We've only three more points for your consideration--none so critical as the one you just addressed, but worthy of consideration nonetheless.

AGC [Redacted] offered the following:

1. **Bottom of page 3, top of page 4.** The discussion references authority to issue an NSL lying in the hands of an "employee" not lower than SAC or DAD. Recommendation: Use "official" rather than mere "employee."

2. **Page 7.** In the discussion of the forthcoming NSL subsystem of FISAMS, examples are offered in terms of *agents* making inputs into the system, making requests, etc. A more accurate reference would be "agent or analyst."

3. **Pages 11 et seq.** [Redacted] offered the following observation [Redacted]

[Redacted]

I don't foresee any further NSLB comments.

Thanks again!

[Redacted]

-----Original Message-----

**From:** [Redacted] (OCA) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Friday, March 16, 2007 10:00 AM  
**To:** [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI); CAPRONI, VALERIE E. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Cc:** [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: IMPORTANT CHANGE Re "Judiciary testimony"

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**NON-RECORD**

NSL VIO-31282

I've made this change.

[Redacted]

Office of Congressional Affairs  
202-324-[Redacted]

b2  
b6  
b7C

-----Original Message-----

**From:** [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Friday, March 16, 2007 9:20 AM  
**To:** CAPRONI, VALERIE E. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Cc:** [Redacted] (OCA) (FBI); [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** IMPORTANT CHANGE Re "Judiciary testimony"  
**Importance:** High

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**NON-RECORD**

Ms. Caproni --

There's an important correction that needs to be made on page 11 regarding emergency disclosures.

As currently worded, the DRAFT states as follows: [Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

b5

**Recommendation:** That page 11 be revised to reflect the above.

[Redacted]

b6  
b7C

-----Original Message-----

**From:** [Redacted] (OCA) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Friday, March 16, 2007 8:45 AM  
**To:** CAPRONI, VALERIE E. (OGC) (FBI); KALISCH, FLENI P. (OCA) (FBI); MONACO, LISA (DO) (OGA)  
**Cc:** [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [Redacted] (DO) (FBI); [Redacted] (DO) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Judiciary testimony  
**Importance:** High

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**NON-RECORD**

Attached are revised drafts of the NSL testimony. I've included a clean version and a redline/strikeout version in the event other are also making edits. These versions include the edits sent forward from NSLB through [redacted] by email yesterday afternoon. It looks like there are a couple of outstanding questions - namely 1) do we have [redacted] and 2) [redacted]

b5

We'd like to get this to DOJ by noon today so that it can be cleared and sent to OMB by cob. Many of my edits substituted language from previously cleared documents (i.e. rsp to OIG or our press statement) in an effort to expedite the clearance process.

Please provide additional edits/thoughts/comments. Thanks,

<< File: Caproni testimony.oca clean.wpd >> << File: Caproni testimony.oca.wpd >>

b6  
b7C

[redacted]  
Office of Congressional Affairs  
202-324-[redacted]

b2

-----Original Message-----

**From:** CAPRONI, VALERIE E. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 15, 2007 8:37 AM  
**To:** [redacted] (OCA) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); KALISCH, ELENI P. (OCA) (FBI); MONACO, LISA (DO) (OGA); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** Judiciary testimony

b6  
b7C

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**NON-RECORD**

Very rough draft attached [redacted] not ready for DoJ -- still too rough.

Looking for factual corrections, tone, etc.

<< File: housejudiciaryig2007.001.wpd >>

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**