IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ALEXANDRIA DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,) The Honorable Liam O'Grady
) Case No. 1:12-cr-00003-LO
V.)
)
KIM DOTCOM, et al.)
)
Defendants.	,)

MOTION OF KYLE GOODWIN FOR LEAVE TO FILE BRIEF IN RESPONSE TO SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF OF SPECIALLY APPEARING DEFENDANT AND INTERESTED PARTY MEGAUPLOAD LIMITED REGARDING RULE 41(G) HEARING

Kyle Goodwin has moved this Court for return of his property under Rule 41(g) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. Dkt. No. 90. The Court has requested briefing on the "suggested format and breadth" of a hearing held under that rule; Mr. Goodwin and the government have since provided their input. Dkt. Nos. 135, 136. As part of his proposal, Mr. Goodwin recommended that this Court hear testimony from himself, from the government, and from limited third-party witnesses, such as a representative of Carpathia Hosting, Inc., the company that owns the servers leased by Megaupload (and on which Mr. Goodwin's property is presumably stored). Dkt. No. 135 at 5-6.

In addition to briefing the contours of a potential Rule 41(g) hearing, Mr. Goodwin moved to unseal certain search warrants related to the searches and seizures that took place in January 2012. Dkt. No. 131. In response, six warrants have been unsealed. Dkt. No. 143.

In the midst of these motions, Megaupload filed a brief of its own, drawing Mr. Goodwin's attention to another search warrant, this one from June 2010. Megaupload alleges that it cooperated with the government pursuant to that 2010 warrant and that its cooperation directly led to the allegations that formed the indictment—and affidavits in support of the search warrants—in this action. Dkt. Nos. 156; 153-2 at 7.

This information was previously unknown to Mr. Goodwin. Megaupload's allegations, if true, directly impact Mr. Goodwin's efforts to get his property back. Accordingly, Mr. Goodwin respectfully seeks leave to file the accompanying brief in support of Megaupload's request to participate in any hearing that occurs under Fed. R. Crim. P. 41(g).

Dated: January 29, 2013 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ John S. Davis

John S. Davis WILLIAMS MULLEN 200 So. 10th St. Richmond, VA 23218

Telephone: (804) 420-6296 Facsimile: (804) 420-6507

Email: jsdavis@williamsmullen.com

Julie P. Samuels Corynne McSherry ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION 454 Shotwell Street San Francisco, CA 94110 Telephone: (415) 436-9333

Facsimile: (415) 436-9993

Email: julie@eff.org

Abraham D. Sofaer THE HOOVER INSTITUTION Stanford University 434 Galvez Mall

Stanford, CA 94305-6010 Telephone: (650) 723-1754 Email: asofaer@stanford.edu

Attorneys for Interested Party Kyle Goodwin

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on January 29, 2013, the foregoing was filed and served electronically by the Court's CM/ECF system upon all registered users, upon the following:

Jay V. Prabhu Chief, Cybercrime Unit Assistant United States Attorney Eastern District of Virginia 2100 Jamieson Avenue Alexandria, VA 22314 Christopher Harlow Thomas Millar SNR DENTON 1301 K St. NW, Suite 600 East Tower Washington, D.C. 20005

Ira Rothken ROTHKEN LAW FIRM 3 Hamilton Landing, Suite 280 Novato, CA 94949 Counsel to Carpathia Hosting, Inc.

Counsel to Megaupload Limited

Counsel to Megaupload Limited

Ed McNicholas

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP

Washington, DC 20005

1501 K Street, NW

Stephen Fabrizio JENNER & BLOCK 1099 New York Avenue, NW Suite 900 Washington, DC 20001

Counsel to Motion Picture Association of America

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: January 29, 2013 /s/
John S. Davis