

1 THOMAS E. MOORE III (SB # 115107)
2 **TOMLINSON ZISKO LLP**
3 200 Page Mill Rd 2nd Fl
4 Palo Alto, CA 94306
5 Telephone: (650) 325-8666
6 Facsimile No.: (650) 324-1808

7 RICHARD R. WIEBE (SB # 121156)
8 **LAW OFFICES OF RICHARD R. WIEBE**
9 425 California St #2025
10 San Francisco, CA 94104
11 Telephone: (415) 433-3200
12 Facsimile No.: (415) 433-6382

13 KURT B. OPSAHL (SB # 191303)
14 KEVIN S. BANKSTON (SB # 217026)
15 **ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION**
16 454 Shotwell Street
17 San Francisco, CA 94110
18 Telephone: (415) 436-9333
19 Facsimile No.: (415) 436-9993

20 Attorneys for Non-Parties MONISH BHATIA,
21 KASPER JADE and JASON D. O'GRADY

22 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
23 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

24 APPLE COMPUTER, INC.,

25 Plaintiff,

26 v.

27 DOE 1, et al.,

28 Defendants.

Case No. 1-04-CV-032178

**NOTICE OF AND MOTION BY NON-
PARTY JOURNALISTS FOR
PROTECTIVE ORDER AND
MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND
AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT THEREOF**

Date: April 8, 2005
Time: 8:30 a.m.
Location: Department 14
Judge: Hon. James Kleinberg

**NOTICE OF AND MOTION BY NON-PARTY JOURNALISTS FOR
PROTECTIVE ORDER AND MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND
AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT THEREOF**

TO PLAINTIFF APPLE COMPUTER, INC. AND ITS ATTORNEY OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the Court will hear the motion of non-parties Jason

1 O’Grady, Monish Bhatia and Kasper Jade (collectively the “Non-Party Journalists”) for a
2 protective order, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 2017(c), on April 8, 2005 at 8:30
3 a.m. before the Honorable James Kleinberg of the Superior Court for the County of Santa Clara, at
4 191 North First Street, San Jose, California, 95113. Code of Civil Procedure section 2017(c)
5 provides that the Court “shall limit the scope of discovery . . .” if, pursuant to a motion for
6 protective order by a party or other affected person, it determines that “. . . the intrusiveness of that
7 discovery clearly outweighs the likelihood that the information sought will lead to the discovery of
8 admissible evidence.”

9 Good cause exists to grant the Non-Party Journalists’ motion for protective order because
10 their sources and unpublished information are protected under the reporter’s shield embodied in
11 both Article I, section 2(b) of the California Constitution and in California Evidence Code Section
12 1070, as well as the reporter’s privilege under the First Amendment of the United States
13 Constitution. The reporter’s privilege protects the Non-Party Journalists from disclosing the source
14 of any information procured in connection with his journalistic endeavors, as well as any
15 unpublished information obtained or prepared while gathering, receiving or processing information
16 for communication to the public. These doctrines also protect a reporter’s sources and unpublished
17 information regardless of the location where the information is stored.

18 This motion is based upon this Notice of Motion, the attached Memorandum of Points and
19 Authorities in Support of Protective Order, on all papers and records on file herein, and on
20 evidence and argument to be presented at the time of the hearing.

21 DATED: February 14, 2005

22 Respectfully submitted,

23 ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION

24 _____
25 Kurt B. Opsahl
26 Attorneys for Non-Parties MONISH BHATIA,
27 KASPER JADE and JASON D. O’GRADY
28