

[redacted] (OTD) (FBI)

From: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI) b2
Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2005 1:45 PM b6
To: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OTD) (FBI) b7C
Cc: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI) b7E

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

[redacted]

b2
b4
b6
b7C
b7E

I have spoken again with [redacted] getting clarification on his proposal for the [redacted]. We are trying to get our other contractor started down a supportable path in the near future. One question of critical importance that must be answered before they can proceed is [redacted]. After talking with [redacted] and a technical person from the DS-3000 group, it appears as if the consensus is that [redacted] through the DS-3000 might be the best option. [redacted] who is the COTR for the other company will be going up for a program review next week, and it would be very helpful if this one question could be answered before he goes. I spoke with [redacted] last Thursday and he felt this would allow sufficient time to get an answer [redacted]. We want to know if RedWolf can [redacted] through the 3000 unit with minimal or no changes to the RedWolf system or [redacted] administration features within RedWolf. If either or both of you feel additional information would be required of us, I can be available, if scheduled, pretty much anytime between now and Friday, early afternoon.

Please let me know if it is not possible to get this answer by Friday. If it is not, please let me know what we must do to get this question answered and provide some time frame to work within so that we can give appropriate guidance to the other contractor for.

Thanks

b2
b6
b7C

[redacted]

UNCLASSIFIED

[redacted] (OTD) (FBI)

From: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, October 07, 2005 10:31 AM
To: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (ITOD)(CON)
Cc: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Subject: FW:

b6
b7C

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

[redacted]

I wanted to remind you guys that we are anxious to get an answer to question below, as the COTR working with our other Contractor will be leaving this coming Monday for the next status meeting. We will have a couple of months to flush out the operational concept details but it is important for them to know up front if they will be [redacted] [redacted] Would appreciate it if you could get an answer back to me or [redacted] by COB today. I will need to leave here by 3:30. [redacted] may leave a little later.

Thanks [redacted] b2
b6
b7C

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2005 1:45 PM
To: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Subject:

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

[redacted]

I have spoken again with [redacted] getting clarification on his proposal for [redacted] We are trying to get our other contractor started down a supportable path in the near future. One question of critical importance that must be answered before they can proceed is whether they would need to [redacted] After talking with [redacted] and a technical person from the DS-3000 group, it appears as if the consensus is that [redacted] through the DS-3000 might be the best option. [redacted] who is the COTR for the other company will be going up for a program review next week, and it would be very helpful if this one question could be answered before he goes. I spoke with [redacted] last Thursday and he felt this would allow sufficient time to get an answer [redacted] We want to know if RedWolf can [redacted] the 3000 unit with minimal or no changes to the RedWolf system or [redacted] all the file administration features within RedWolf. If either or both of you feel additional information would be required of us, I can be available, if scheduled, pretty much anytime between now and Friday, early afternoon.

Please let me know if it is not possible to get this answer by Friday. If it is not, please let me know what we must do to get this question answered and provide some time frame to work within so that we can give appropriate guidance to the other contractor for.

Thanks [redacted]

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

Serial Description ~ COVER SHEET

Total Deleted Page(s) ~ 7

Page 20 ~ b2, b6, b7C

Page 21 ~ b2, b6, b7C

Page 108 ~ Duplicate 1056287-000 Division Documents, Section 36, P. 72

Page 261 ~ Duplicate 1083022-000 SPTU Documents DR 7, Section 1, P.551

Page 267 ~ Duplicate 1083022-000, SPTU Documents KB, section 1
P. 28

Page 268 ~ Duplicate 1083022-000, SPTU Documents KB, Section 1, P. 29


Page 269 ~ Duplicate 1083022-000 SPTU Documents KB, Section 1, P. 30

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X Deleted Page(s) X
X No Duplication Fee X
X for this Page X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

From:
Sent:
To:

Cc:

Cc:




b2
b6
b7C

Subject:

DCS 5000 C&A

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD



b2
b7E

The DCS 5000 / RedWolf system has received Approval To Operate (ATO) from SecD based on a type certification and accreditation process. I will be providing copies of all relevant C&A documentation, including the System Security Plan, the User's Guide and Privileged User's Guide, and the Implementation/Migration Plan which will lay out the processes and timetable for deploying the approved security configuration and policies to the field systems.


In preparation for this deployment, request that Field Office TA/TS/TTA personnel review the attached spreadsheet containing key support personnel. It lists

System Administrators/Alternates - those who are primarily responsible for day to day administration of the system, adding new users, etc.

Software POCs - those individuals (government) to whom we should send any software updates for the system.

Local System Security Managers - Those personnel (government) who will be responsible for the security of the system, to include validating user account requests, and reviewing local system audit logs. - more on that in a later email --- we are working on some tools to simplify this process.

Please verify that each position has someone assigned to it. The system administrator should not be a security manager- since part of the security manager's responsibilities include insuring that the system administrator is complying with appropriate guidelines.

Also each facility should indicate any additional personnel requiring Privileged User access to the system - generally this would be anyone with Operating System access/// not application access. Privileged User accounts will be verified/validated by the  here at ITD. All standard user accounts will be validated and managed locally.

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

Please review and provide your input as soon as possible - There is a lot of work that has to be done, and we really do need to get it underway.

Thanx



ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 04-17-2009 BY 60322uclp/stp/rds

[Redacted]

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, February 21, 2006 9 19 AM
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: Urgent Need Items

b6
b7C

Attachments: image001 gif, image001 gif, image001 gif, image001 gif, image001 gif, image001 gif

[Redacted] – please review number 3 to make sure I've captured all element you need. After I get your response, I'll forward to Doug.

[Redacted] We need to generate purchase orders to comply with internal requirements, and to do what is necessary for the program, we need these item ASAP.

[Redacted]

b2
b7E

4. Not as urgent – Windows Workstations

[Redacted]
BAE Systems - Information Technology
[Redacted]

b2
b6
b7C

[redacted] (OTD) (FBI)

From: [redacted] (OTD) (CON)
Sent: Wednesday, February 22, 2006 3:46 PM
To: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OTD) (CON); [redacted] (OTD)(CON)
Subject: FW: [redacted] is pending implementation. Please implement and close the request

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

FYI. [redacted] approved. No [redacted] required.

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (OTD) (CON)
Sent: Wednesday, February 22, 2006 12:20 PM
To: [redacted] (OTD) (CON)
Subject: FW: [redacted] is pending implementation. Please implement and close the request.

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

FYI...

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, February 22, 2006 12:19 PM
To: [redacted] (OTD) (CON)
Subject: [redacted] is pending implementation. Please implement and close the request.

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

< Please do NOT reply to this email >

COTS Request

Change Number: [redacted] FY: 2006 Date Opened: 02/21/06 10:01:38
Work Status: Pending Implementat Initiator: [redacted]
Status: initial Last Updated On: 02/22/06 12:17:09
Approve Status: approved Last Updated By: [redacted]
Priority: [redacted] Date Closed:
Classification: SECRET Closed By:
Environment: Standalone Target Implement: 03/03/06 00:00:00
Purchase Type: HARDWARE FD-369 Req #: [redacted]

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

General Info

REQUESTED BY
Name: [redacted] Room#: TICTU
[redacted] Cost Code: [redacted]
HQ or FO Div: [redacted] Phone: [redacted]
Unit: [redacted] Extension: 06454

Product Information

Approved Software: Product: SERVER BOARD Approved Hardware: SERVER BOARD
Estimated Purchase \$: 78800.00 Total Purchase \$:
Estimated # of Lic: Purchase Date:
Estimated # of Items: 20

SOFTWARE INFORMATION:

Category:
Manufacturer:
Version No:
Patch?:
Security Patch?:
Manufacturer:
of Lic. Purchased:

HARDWARE INFORMATION:

Category: Data Storage
Manufacturer:
Hardware Model:
of Hardware Purchased:
Reported to DOJ?:

VENDOR INFORMATION:

Name:
Contact Person:
Phone #:
Web Address:

INSTALLATION LOCATION:

Version/Model No.:
Manufacturer:
Operating System:

----- Testing -----

Primary Developer:
Date Assigned:
Acknowledged Date:
Acknowledged By:
Completed Date:
Completed By:
Testing and Comments:
n/a

Phone:
Ext:
Pass/Fail:

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

----- APPROVAL INFO. -----

APPROVED GROUP:
SO REVIEW

APPROVED BY:


APPROVED DATE:
02/22/06 12:17:09

ACTION:
Approved

PENDING APPROVAL:
Approval Comments:

-----Description-----

Justification/Comments:
02/22/06 12:17:09 by (Approved)



b2
b4
b6
b7C
b7E

UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

[Redacted] (OTD) (FBI)

From: [Redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, March 03, 2006 8:23 AM
To: [Redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Subject: FW: Sessions of Zero duration

b6
b7C

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 04-17-2009 BY 60322ucip/stp/rds

[Redacted]

Can you look into this?

Thnx,
[Redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted] (MM) (CON)
Sent: Thursday, March 02, 2006 5:19 PM
To: [Redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Cc: [Redacted] (MM) (CON); [Redacted] (MM) (CON); [Redacted] (MM) (FBI)
Subject: Sessions of Zero duration

b6
b7C

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

[Redacted]

[Redacted]
RedWolf [Redacted]

b2
b4
b6
b7C
b7E

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

[redacted] (OTD) (FBI)

From: [redacted] (FBI)
Sent: Monday, March 27, 2006 3:49 PM
To: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (NY) (FBI); [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Needed information regarding [redacted]

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~
RECORD 321C-NY-229487

DATE: 08-31-2009
CLASSIFIED BY UC 60322 LP/STP/SZ
REASON: 1.4 (G)
DECLASSIFY ON: 08-31-2034

[redacted]
Here is the IP info

[redacted]

(S)

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED EXCEPT
WHERE SHOWN OTHERWISE

b1

Let me know if it is definite that you are coming Wednesday Thanks

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, March 27, 2006 1:06 PM
To: [redacted] (FBI)
Subject: RE: Needed information regarding [redacted]

b6
b7C

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~
RECORD 321C-NY-229487

[redacted]

We had hoped to come up on Wednesday

[redacted]

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (FBI)
Sent: Monday, March 27, 2006 9:20 AM
To: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Needed information regarding [redacted]

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~
RECORD 321C-NY-229487

[redacted]

It is my understanding that we do act as a proxy with delivering their orders

[redacted] will get someone from the Hut down here when you guys come to set up the equipment for the DCS-3000 move. When are you coming?

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2006 4:52 PM
To: [redacted] (FBI)

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

Subject: RE: Needed information regarding [redacted]

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~
~~RECORD 321C-NY-229487~~

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

[redacted]

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (FBI)
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2006 1:56 PM
To: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Subject: FW: Needed information regarding [redacted]
Importance: High

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~
~~RECORD 321C-NY-229487~~

Glvs

[redacted]

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (FBI)
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2006 11:12 AM
To: [redacted] (FBI); [redacted] (FBI); [redacted] (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (FBI); [redacted] (FBI); [redacted] (CON)
Subject: FW: Needed information regarding [redacted]

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~
~~RECORD 321C-NY-229487~~

[redacted]

This concerns the legal question I raised with [redacted] in my 3/24 phone call

[redacted]

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

Any additional comments/thoughts are greatly appreciated

Thanks

SA [redacted]
NYO/SSB/CD-54

[redacted]

b2
b6
b7C

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (FBI)
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2006 9:30 AM
To: [redacted] (FBI); [redacted] (FBI); [redacted] (CON)
Cc: [redacted] (FBI); [redacted] (FBI)
Subject: RE: Needed information regarding [redacted]

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~
RECORD 321C-NY-229487

[redacted]

This is along the lines of what we were discussing this morning. Please contact [redacted] and let him know our concerns regarding the CDC data.

Thanks,

[redacted]

SSA/CD-54

[redacted]

b2
b6
b7C

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, March 22, 2006 7:31 AM
To: [redacted] (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (FBI)
Subject: FW: Needed information regarding [redacted]

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~
RECORD 321C-NY-229487

[redacted]

[redacted]

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (FBI)
Sent: Friday, March 17, 2006 9:13 AM
To: [redacted] (FBI)
Subject: RE: Needed information regarding [redacted]

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~
RECORD 321C-NY-229487

[redacted]

[redacted]

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

and contact Verizon with this request

[Redacted]

b2
b7E

Thank you

[Redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted] (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, March 16, 2006 9:54 AM
To: [Redacted] (FBI); [Redacted] (CON); [Redacted] (CON)
Cc: [Redacted] (FBI); [Redacted] (FBI); [Redacted] (FBI); [Redacted] (FBI); KARST, [Redacted] (FBI); [Redacted] (FBI); [Redacted] (FBI)
Subject: Needed information regarding Manhattan POTS lines

b2
b6
b7C

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~
~~RECORD 321C-NY-229487~~

Everyone,

[Redacted]

b2
b7E

If anyone has any questions please give me a call. Thank you

TTA [Redacted]
NYO Squad SO-1 [Redacted]
work. [Redacted]
cell [Redacted]

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

~~DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign CounterIntelligence Investigations
DECLASSIFICATION EXEMPTION 1
SECRET//NOFORN~~

~~DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign CounterIntelligence Investigations
DECLASSIFICATION EXEMPTION 1
SECRET//NOFORN~~

~~DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign CounterIntelligence Investigations
SECRET~~

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

Serial Description ~ COVER SHEET

Total Deleted Page(s) ~ 206

- Page 10 ~ Duplicate
- Page 11 ~ Duplicate
- Page 12 ~ Duplicate
- Page 13 ~ Duplicate
- Page 14 ~ Duplicate
- Page 15 ~ Duplicate
- Page 16 ~ Duplicate
- Page 17 ~ Duplicate
- Page 18 ~ Duplicate
- Page 20 ~ Duplicate
- Page 21 ~ Duplicate
- Page 22 ~ Duplicate
- Page 23 ~ Duplicate
- Page 24 ~ Duplicate
- Page 25 ~ Duplicate
- Page 26 ~ Duplicate
- Page 27 ~ Duplicate
- Page 28 ~ Duplicate
- Page 29 ~ Duplicate
- Page 30 ~ Duplicate
- Page 31 ~ Duplicate
- Page 32 ~ Duplicate
- Page 34 ~ Duplicate
- Page 35 ~ Duplicate
- Page 36 ~ Duplicate
- Page 37 ~ Duplicate
- Page 38 ~ Duplicate
- Page 39 ~ Duplicate
- Page 40 ~ Duplicate
- Page 41 ~ Duplicate
- Page 42 ~ Duplicate
- Page 43 ~ Duplicate
- Page 44 ~ Duplicate
- Page 45 ~ Duplicate
- Page 49 ~ Duplicate
- Page 50 ~ Duplicate
- Page 56 ~ Duplicate
- Page 57 ~ Duplicate
- Page 58 ~ Duplicate
- Page 59 ~ Duplicate
- Page 61 ~ Duplicate
- Page 62 ~ Duplicate
- Page 63 ~ Duplicate
- Page 64 ~ Duplicate

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X Deleted Page(s) X
X No Duplication Fee X
X for this Page X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

Page 65 ~ Duplicate
Page 67 ~ Duplicate
Page 68 ~ Duplicate
Page 70 ~ Duplicate
Page 71 ~ Duplicate
Page 87 ~ Duplicate
Page 88 ~ Duplicate pg. 50 this section
Page 89 ~ Duplicate this section
Page 91 ~ Duplicate
Page 92 ~ Duplicate
Page 93 ~ Duplicate
Page 103 ~ Duplicate
Page 105 ~ Duplicate
Page 106 ~ Duplicate
Page 107 ~ Duplicate
Page 108 ~ Duplicate
Page 109 ~ Duplicate
Page 110 ~ Duplicate
Page 111 ~ Duplicate
Page 112 ~ Duplicate
Page 113 ~ Duplicate
Page 114 ~ Duplicate
Page 115 ~ Duplicate
Page 116 ~ Duplicate
Page 118 ~ Duplicate
Page 122 ~ Duplicate
Page 123 ~ Duplicate
Page 124 ~ Duplicate
Page 134 ~ Duplicate
Page 135 ~ Duplicate
Page 136 ~ Duplicate
Page 140 ~ Duplicate
Page 142 ~ Duplicate
Page 143 ~ Duplicate
Page 160 ~ Duplicate p. 150 this section
Page 162 ~ Duplicate
Page 163 ~ Duplicate
Page 164 ~ Duplicate
Page 165 ~ Duplicate
Page 166 ~ Duplicate
Page 167 ~ Duplicate
Page 168 ~ Duplicate
Page 169 ~ Duplicate
Page 170 ~ Duplicate

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X Deleted Page(s) X
X No Duplication Fee X
X for this Page X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

Page 171 ~ Duplicate
Page 172 ~ Duplicate
Page 173 ~ Duplicate p. 165 this section
Page 174 ~ Duplicate
Page 175 ~ Duplicate
Page 176 ~ Duplicate
Page 177 ~ Duplicate p. 162 this section
Page 178 ~ Duplicate
Page 179 ~ Duplicate
Page 180 ~ Duplicate
Page 181 ~ Duplicate
Page 182 ~ Duplicate
Page 183 ~ Duplicate
Page 184 ~ Duplicate p. 178 this section
Page 185 ~ Duplicate p. 179 this section
Page 186 ~ Duplicate
Page 187 ~ Duplicate this section
Page 188 ~ Duplicate
Page 191 ~ Duplicate
Page 192 ~ Duplicate
Page 193 ~ Duplicate
Page 194 ~ Duplicate
Page 195 ~ Duplicate
Page 196 ~ Duplicate
Page 197 ~ Duplicate
Page 198 ~ b4
Page 199 ~ b4
Page 200 ~ b4
Page 201 ~ b4
Page 202 ~ b4
Page 203 ~ b4
Page 204 ~ Duplicate
Page 205 ~ Duplicate
Page 206 ~ Duplicate
Page 207 ~ Duplicate
Page 208 ~ Duplicate
Page 209 ~ Duplicate
Page 210 ~ Duplicate
Page 211 ~ Duplicate
Page 212 ~ Duplicate
Page 213 ~ Duplicate
Page 214 ~ Duplicate
Page 215 ~ Duplicate
Page 216 ~ Duplicate

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X Deleted Page(s) X
X No Duplication Fee X
X for this Page X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

Page 217 ~ Duplicate
Page 218 ~ Duplicate
Page 219 ~ Duplicate
Page 220 ~ Duplicate
Page 221 ~ Duplicate
Page 222 ~ Duplicate
Page 223 ~ Duplicate
Page 224 ~ Duplicate
Page 225 ~ Duplicate
Page 226 ~ Duplicate
Page 227 ~ Duplicate
Page 228 ~ Duplicate
Page 229 ~ Duplicate
Page 230 ~ Duplicate
Page 235 ~ Duplicate
Page 236 ~ Duplicate
Page 237 ~ Duplicate
Page 238 ~ Duplicate
Page 239 ~ Duplicate
Page 240 ~ Duplicate
Page 241 ~ Duplicate
Page 242 ~ Duplicate
Page 243 ~ Duplicate
Page 244 ~ Duplicate
Page 245 ~ Duplicate
Page 246 ~ Duplicate
Page 247 ~ Duplicate
Page 248 ~ Duplicate
Page 249 ~ Duplicate
Page 250 ~ Duplicate
Page 251 ~ Duplicate
Page 252 ~ Duplicate
Page 253 ~ Duplicate
Page 257 ~ Duplicate
Page 258 ~ Duplicate
Page 269 ~ Duplicate
Page 270 ~ Duplicate
Page 271 ~ Duplicate
Page 272 ~ Duplicate
Page 273 ~ Duplicate
Page 274 ~ Duplicate
Page 275 ~ Duplicate
Page 276 ~ Duplicate
Page 277 ~ Duplicate

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X Deleted Page(s) X
X No Duplication Fee X
X for this Page X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

Page 278 ~ Duplicate
Page 279 ~ Duplicate
Page 280 ~ Duplicate
Page 281 ~ Duplicate
Page 282 ~ Duplicate
Page 283 ~ Duplicate
Page 284 ~ Duplicate
Page 287 ~ Duplicate
Page 288 ~ Duplicate
Page 292 ~ Duplicate
Page 293 ~ Duplicate
Page 294 ~ Duplicate
Page 295 ~ Duplicate
Page 296 ~ Duplicate
Page 297 ~ Duplicate
Page 298 ~ Duplicate
Page 299 ~ Duplicate
Page 302 ~ Duplicate
Page 303 ~ Duplicate
Page 304 ~ Duplicate
Page 305 ~ Duplicate
Page 306 ~ Duplicate
Page 307 ~ Duplicate
Page 308 ~ Duplicate
Page 309 ~ Duplicate
Page 310 ~ Duplicate
Page 314 ~ Duplicate
Page 315 ~ Duplicate
Page 316 ~ Duplicate
Page 317 ~ Duplicate

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X Deleted Page(s) X
X No Duplication Fee X
X for this Page X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

[redacted]
From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, January 03, 2006 9 35 AM
To: [redacted]
Subject: RE: Questions regarding [redacted] and the Red Wolf System

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

[redacted]
This sounds very interesting. I'd really like to know more about what their system does, how it currently works, and what they hope to gain from [redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]

>> [redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted]
Sent: Friday, December 30, 2005 8 01 AM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: RE: Questions regarding [redacted] and the Red Wolf System

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

[redacted]
I did know that your group was working on [redacted] with the RW - I suppose we may have to discuss how exactly [redacted]
[redacted]

Give me a call towards the end of next week and we can set up a time to get together
[redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, December 20, 2005 6 01 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: Questions regarding [redacted] and the Red Wolf System

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

H

As you may or may not know, the group I work in is currently undertaking efforts to
 with the Red Wolf System. In short, we would like to

Thanks and Happy Holidays to you!

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

[Redacted]

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, January 03, 2006 9:50 AM
To: [Redacted]
Subject: [Redacted] Monthly Report Status - Dec2005

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

[Redacted]

Feel free to include comments and mods below as you see fit.

Thnc,
-ca

Dec 2005 Status Report -

Budget -

- [Redacted]

Technical Integration -

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

System Enhancements -

[Redacted]

- [Redacted]

[Redacted]

- [Redacted]

[Redacted]

- [Redacted]

[Redacted]

- [Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

- [Redacted]

[Redacted]

- [Redacted]

[Redacted]

- [Redacted]
- [Redacted]

[Redacted]

- [Redacted]

[Redacted]

- [Redacted]
- [Redacted]

[Redacted]

- Possibly moving back into the FO by Mar06 No significant activities to report this month.

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

[Redacted]

From: [Redacted]

Sent: Thursday, January 05, 2006 8:33 AM

To: [Redacted]

Cc:

Subject: [Redacted] Red Wolf [Redacted]

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

[Redacted]

We had [Redacted] assigned to your office during the timeframe that you were [Redacted]

[Redacted]

If these systems are still in your division and are not required, please let me know so I can send you tamper-proof seals to use so you may return the Red Wolf [Redacted] to [Redacted] for redeployment

Thank you for your response

[Redacted]

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 02-25-2009 BY 60322/UC/LRP/PLJ/sdb

[Redacted]

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Thursday, January 05, 2006 10:15 AM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: RE: Installed Red Wolf Systems

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Thanks - I'll remove [Redacted] from your assignment list

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Thursday, January 05, 2006 10:12 AM
To: [Redacted]
Subject: RE: Installed Red Wolf Systems

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

[Redacted] was accomplished and [Redacted] was returned by former TTA [Redacted] who is now assigned to [Redacted]. Thanks!!!!

[Redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, January 03, 2006 2:00 PM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: Installed Red Wolf Systems

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

We had installed a [Redacted] Red Wolf system in the [Redacted] office in July 2004. It was our understanding that rather than having a [Redacted] at the [Redacted] you were going to [Redacted] Red Wolf system [Redacted].

Was this [Redacted] ever accomplished?

If so, was the Red Wolf [Redacted] returned to [Redacted]?

Thank you for your responses

[Redacted]

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

Subject:

RMS 107515 - [redacted]

~~SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD~~

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

[redacted] Please verify existing number of workstations..

Also -

[redacted]
[redacted]

(S)

RMS 107515

b1

I need [redacted] Red Wolf work stations to support [redacted]

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

[redacted]

~~SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED~~

~~SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED~~

~~SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED~~

~~SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED~~

~~SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED~~

~~SECRET~~

[Redacted]

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Monday, January 09, 2006 7 52 AM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: RE RMS 107515 [Redacted] WS

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

DATE: 04-13-2009
CLASSIFIED BY 60322/UC/LRP/PLJ/sdb
REASON: 1.4 (c)
DECLASSIFY ON: 04-13-2034

~~SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED~~
~~NON-RECORD~~

Hi [Redacted]

No I do understand and appreciate your efforts for our Division. I must add, however, that in the [Redacted] Unit, we have [Redacted] We will do what we need to to ensure [Redacted] can do their job.

As for the other RWs, I presume they are being used in the [Redacted] I will check with [Redacted] and see if he knows the whereabouts of the [Redacted] Again thanks!

[Redacted]

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED EXCEPT
WHERE SHOWN OTHERWISE

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Monday, January 09, 2006 6:26 AM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: (OTD) (FBI)
RE: RMS 107515 [Redacted] WS

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

~~SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED~~
~~NON-RECORD~~

[Redacted]
According to our info [Redacted] already has more than [Redacted] in place and this is pushing the capabilities of the existing system. We could provide [Redacted]

[Redacted]
We are working with tech staff at the Field Office to upgrade the [Redacted] system to be able to [Redacted] in place next month (Feb 06)

I know that's probably not what you wanted to hear but it is the best we can do at this point.

<< Message: RE: RMS 107515 [Redacted] WS >>

[Redacted]

~~SECRET~~

[Redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Friday, January 06, 2006 2:27 PM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: RE: RMS 107515 - [Redacted] WS

b1
b2
b6
b7C
b7E

(S)

(S)

~~**SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED**~~
~~**NON-RECORD**~~

Geed [Redacted] thanks for not inhibiting my work [Redacted] The [Redacted] currently has [Redacted]
[Redacted] I have [Redacted] and therefore the RWs are in full usage. I recently
EODed [Redacted] new [Redacted] and am anticipating [Redacted] more in the near future [Redacted] I have [Redacted]
workstations and was hoping to place the [Redacted] in those w/RWs. They are fulltime (8-5) contractors,
hence the need for the additional RWs

Since I am not getting the additional ones, I will give up my RW and probably the one the REA Contractor has with
the expectation of getting them replaced in the future

As for any new [Redacted] I will wait and see. Thanks anyway and have a great weekend

[Redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Friday, January 06, 2006 12:00 PM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: FW: RMS 107515 - [Redacted] WS

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

~~**SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED**~~
~~**NON-RECORD**~~

[Redacted] I am not trying to be the bearer of bad news or to inhibit your work, but I wanted to let you know that I
talked to [Redacted] about this request and he says that he cannot give you the [Redacted]. They are
instead trying to work on [Redacted]
RW users working at one time

I am under the impression that you already have [Redacted] out there, but can you tell me, on a
given busy day, how many [Redacted] you have working the RW system at one time?

Hopefully with schedules and days off you don't hit that threshold very often.

[Redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Thursday, December 29, 2005 10:49 AM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]

Subject: RE: RMS 107515 - [Redacted] WS

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

~~SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD~~

(S)

[Redacted] Red Wolf work stations are needed in [Redacted]
[Redacted] arrived 12/28/05
[Redacted] will arrive 1/17/06
[Redacted] will arrive 1/30/06

b1
b2
b6
b7C
b7E

[Redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Thursday, December 29, 2005 8:34 AM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: RMS 107515 - [Redacted] WS

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

~~SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD~~

[Redacted] Please verify existing number of workstations..

Also -
do we have a projected start date for the additional [Redacted] ???
will they all be at [Redacted] HQ?

(S)

RMS 107515
I need [Redacted] Red Wolf work stations to support additional [Redacted]

b1
b2
b6
b7C
b7E

[Redacted]

~~SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED~~

~~SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED~~

~~SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED~~

~~SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED~~

~~SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED~~

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

~~SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED~~

~~SECRET~~

[Redacted]

b2
b4
b6
b7C
b7E

From: [Redacted]

Sent: Monday, January 16, 2006 6 43 AM

To: [Redacted]

Cc: [Redacted]

Subject: Summary of discussion of possible archive changes

[Redacted]

Below are my notes concerning the changes we discussed at last Thursday's meeting. Please let me know if you concur with below or have any changes/additions. I am planning these now to determine if they fit into an April delivery window so feed back as soon as possible would be appreciated. This list was not intended to be complete meeting minutes. It does not capture the problem list discussion, possible longer term solutions, the brief [Redacted] discussion, or investigation of [Redacted].

Summary of archive changes discussed on Thursday 1/10/2006

[Large Redacted Area]



Thanks,



b2
b4
b6
b7C
b7E

~~SECRET~~

DATE: 04-13-2009
CLASSIFIED BY 60322/UC/LRP/PLJ/sdb
REASON: 1.4 (c)
DECLASSIFY ON: 04-13-2034


[Redacted]

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, January 17, 2006 12:14 PM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: RE: RMS 107515 - [Redacted] WS

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

~~SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD~~

As of today we have [Redacted] workstations on our RW network. [Redacted] of them are in the [Redacted], [Redacted] are in [Redacted] rooms and [Redacted] are in [Redacted] areas. The [Redacted] in the [Redacted] rooms are not heavily used. I could cannibalize a few machines from there to meet the [Redacted] immediate needs. Duplicate p. 52 this section



~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

DATE: 04-13-2009
CLASSIFIED BY 60322/UC/LRP/PLJ/sdb
REASON: 1.4 (c)
DECLASSIFY ON: 04-13-2034

[Redacted]

From: [Redacted] b2
Sent: Tuesday, January 17, 2006 12:20 PM b6
To: [Redacted] b7C
Cc: [Redacted] b7E
Subject: RE: RMS 107515 [Redacted] WS

~~SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD~~

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED EXCEPT
WHERE SHOWN OTHERWISE

Bravo and thanks [Redacted]

[Redacted]

Duplicate p. 242 OTD...DR7

[Large redacted area containing two crossed-out lines]

~~SECRET~~

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

[Redacted]

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, January 17, 2006 5:37 PM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: RE: Clarification on December 9 Letter

[Redacted]

I am trying to better understand what it is that you are asking for. Are you requesting a Red Wolf [Redacted] Guide or [Redacted] Guide?

Thank you,

[Redacted]

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, January 17, 2006 8:28 AM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: RE: Clarification on December 9 Letter

No attachment from us [Redacted] - but what we were looking for was a system configuration worksheet from you listing all the components included in the [Redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Monday, January 16, 2006 7:19 AM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: RE: Clarification on December 9 Letter

??? Was there an attachment meant to be sent with your email?

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Friday, January 13, 2006 11:48 AM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: Clarification on December 9 Letter

[Redacted]

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, January 25, 2006 1 55 PM

To: [Redacted]

Cc: [Redacted]

Subject: RE: Summary of discussion of possible [Redacted] changes

[Redacted]

I spoke with [Redacted] this AM and we concur with the recommended changes -
Please proceed
Also please advise if any of these proposed changes will not be available for the scheduled Apr baseline release

[Redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, January 17, 2006 4:46 PM

To: [Redacted]

Cc: [Redacted]

Subject: RE: Summary of discussion of possible [Redacted] changes

thks [Redacted] we'll take a look-see for concurrence.

[Redacted]

Duplicate p. 84 this section

[Large Redacted Area]

[Redacted]

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, January 18, 2006 6:47 PM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: [Redacted] Red Wolf system

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Gentlemen,

The Red Wolf system in [Redacted] is experiencing some problems. I contacted [Redacted] at the [Redacted] help desk and we determined that the [Redacted] information should be noted on CSOC trouble ticket 108179. This

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

Also, WS3 is not providing any [Redacted]. This was listed on CSOC trouble ticket number 108180.

We are now down to our last work station (WS2) on a [Redacted]. Your quick response to this matter will be greatly appreciated.

Thanks,
[Redacted]

UNCLASSIFIED

[Redacted]

From: [Redacted] b2
Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2006 12:02 PM b6
To: [Redacted] b7C
Subject: RE: RMS 108575-[Redacted] Media b7E

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

[Redacted]
Right now I do not have and [Redacted] to send them. The current recommendation is to have them send us [Redacted]

[Redacted]

Thanks

[Redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2006 9:58 AM
To: [Redacted]
Subject: FW: RMS 108575-[Redacted] Media

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

[Redacted]

Do you have a status on this RMS? Do they currently have a problem with their [Redacted] What actions, if any, are we taking?

Thnx,
-ca


-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Monday, January 30, 2006 4:23 PM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: RMS 108575-[Redacted] Media

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

RE - RMS 108575

b2
b6
b7C
b7E



Need equipment ASAP. Discovery must be complete by 02-15-06.

Points of contact:



I spoke with [redacted] -

He does not have an [redacted] ..

RMS indicates that you have had problems with [redacted] in the past.-

Have you tried any of these media ????-

There have been upgrades since that addressed some of those type problems.

As an alternative -



to

[redacted] for conversion to DVD for discovery.

Let me know what you think or if there are other factors ...

Thanx



SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

[Redacted]

From: [Redacted] b2
Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2006 5 52 PM b6
To: [Redacted] b7C
Subject: [Redacted] handling b7E

All,

Currently, [Redacted] allows [Redacted]
[Redacted]

We have a recommendation that a [Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]

Please tell me if [Redacted] is
acceptable and what your recommendation is for the [Redacted]

Thanks,

[Redacted]
[Redacted]
ph [Redacted]

[Redacted]

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, February 01, 2006 2:11 PM
To: [Redacted]
Subject: RE: RMS 108575 [Redacted] Media


b2
b6
b7C
b7E

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Thanks [Redacted] clarified that we're pushing to have the [Redacted] forwarded to you at [Redacted]. You may want to contact the POC of the RMS and get this started. Check w/ [Redacted] before doing so, in case he already contacted the site. Thanks,

-ca

Duplicate p. 137 this section



~~SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED~~

[Redacted]

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Monday, February 06, 2006 9 59 AM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: FW [Redacted] handling

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

Thoughts?

[Redacted]

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 04-13-2009 BY 60322/UC/LRP/PLJ/sdb

Duplicate p. 139 this section

[Large Redacted Area]

[Redacted]

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Monday, February 06, 2006 4 07 PM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: RE [Redacted] handling

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

My thoughts are:

- 1) [Redacted]
- 2) [Redacted]

Duplicate p. 150 this section

[Large empty rectangular box]

[Redacted]
[Redacted]
ph [Redacted]

b2
b6
b7C

[Redacted]

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2006 10:53 AM
To: [Redacted]
Subject: FW: Search for Missing [Redacted]

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
RECORD [Redacted]

FYI

Thanks

[Redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, January 11, 2006 10:05 AM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: Search for Missing [Redacted]

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
RECORD [Redacted]

[Redacted]

This E-mail to confirm our phone conversations from yesterday afternoon,

Per phone conversations between [Redacted] and [Redacted] and myself, she informed [Redacted] and me that she has [Redacted]

[Large Redacted Area]

[redacted] and I are available to travel to [redacted] to assist with this matter

Thanks



b2
b6
b7C

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

Serial Description ~ COVER SHEET

Total Deleted Page(s) ~ 20

- Page 48 ~ Duplicate
- Page 50 ~ Duplicate
- Page 51 ~ Duplicate
- Page 55 ~ Duplicate
- Page 80 ~ Duplicate
- Page 108 ~ Duplicate
- Page 109 ~ Duplicate
- Page 110 ~ Duplicate
- Page 170 ~ Duplicate
- Page 171 ~ Duplicate
- Page 194 ~ Duplicate
- Page 195 ~ Duplicate
- Page 196 ~ Duplicate
- Page 197 ~ Duplicate
- Page 198 ~ Duplicate
- Page 199 ~ Duplicate
- Page 203 ~ b2, b7E
- Page 213 ~ Duplicate
- Page 252 ~ b2, b7E
- Page 269 ~ Duplicate

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X Deleted Page(s) X
X No Duplication Fee X
X for this Page X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

[redacted] and all others,

I do not want to make a change. I was merely asking [redacted] if the Red Wolf system had the capacity to produce an additional form containing the information below:

[redacted] number/name

Date

Serial

Last Name

First Name

We currently have a support person manually typing captioned information on a form. I thought perhaps a push of one button may save hours of manual typing.

[redacted]

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, April 27, 2006 3:52 PM
To: [redacted] (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (FBI); [redacted] (FBI); [redacted] (FBI); [redacted]
Subject: RE: Question Regarding [redacted]

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

[redacted]

In order to change the reporting matrix, you must contact Language Services Division Or, ask ASSA [redacted] if he can help you

FYI, the reports that the LS's produce are a Bureau standard If you want a change, then you must make a request through FBIHQ

SA [redacted]
Tech Advisor

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, April 27, 2006 3:20 PM
To: [redacted] (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (FBI)
Subject: FW: Question Regarding [redacted]

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

Please see the question below that I asked [redacted] regarding [redacted] and Red Wolf.

Thanks

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, April 27, 2006 3:18 PM
To: [redacted] (FBI)
Subject: RE: Question Regarding [redacted]

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Sorry, but I don't know. Maybe the tech agents would be able to help you

-----Original Message-----
From: [redacted] (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, April 27, 2006 1:47 PM
To: [redacted] (FBI)
Subject: Question Regarding [redacted]

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

[redacted]

Question regarding the Red Wolf system that the [redacted] are entered into. Do you know if you can produce a report that contains the following:

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

[redacted] *Number/Name Date Serial Last Name First*

We are currently taking your [redacted] and entering the above information into a Matrix from the [redacted]. This is very time consuming. Then the Matrix containing the above information is printed and placed on top of your [redacted]

[redacted]

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

[redacted] (OTD) (FBI)

From: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Sent: Sunday, April 30, 2006 8 23 AM
To: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Subject: FW Red Wolf System

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~
~~RECORD mm-C99102~~

b6
b7C

[redacted]

Another set back, potentially Could you please look into this and let me know what our options are?

Thnx,
-ca

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (CON)
Sent: Friday, April 28, 2006 12:54 PM
To: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (FBI); [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (CON); [redacted] (OTD) (CON); [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Subject: Red Wolf System

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~
~~RECORD mm-C99102~~

[redacted]

Besides getting the go ahead for [redacted] to come down next Tuesday and Wednesday, here are some thoughts

We could revert to the old Red Wolf system, but we have only [redacted] Red Wolf workstations (located [redacted] [redacted] that I am sure work. The other [redacted] rooms where the AC was not always working Thus, we may need to get up to [redacted] replacements to provide the same level of review capability they have now Before we revert we should also [redacted] This needs to be done carefully and may take a few man weeks work of labor to get it done There will also have to be some user and operator training for the existing site staff Since the existing Red Wolf is an old hybrid system, some of the training will have to be repeated when the new Red Wolf is ready For these reasons, I am inclined to stay with the [redacted] system I know that the audio quality is not as good with the [redacted] system if you record more than [redacted] [redacted] but it has more capacity (and spares) than the Red Wolf that may be needed in the near term With this in mind, I am inclined to stay with the [redacted] unless the archiving issues are too great I know there may be different opinions, so please do not view me as the decision maker

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

I will try to get a system description from [redacted] or write one for new Red Wolf early next week I am on the way to an off-site, but I will be available by phone Thanks

[redacted]

ELSUR Technology Management Unit (ETMU)
Electronic Surveillance Technology Section (ESTS)
Operational Technology Division (OTD) b6
[redacted] (Desk) b7C
[redacted] (Cell) b2

~~DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign Counterintelligence Investigations~~
~~DECLASSIFY ON: 20310324~~
~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

[redacted] (OTD) (FBI)

From: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, June 13, 2006 3:18 PM
To: [redacted] (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI), [redacted] (OTD) (CON)
Subject: RE. Red Wolf

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Brad,

The answer is NO. The [redacted] associated with it. To my knowledge the status is not [redacted]. You can pass this by [redacted] to be sure.

Hope This Helps,
-ca

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, June 13, 2006 2:24 PM
To: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Subject: Red Wolf

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

I have an easy Red Wolf question [redacted] to our office over Red Wolf. When a [redacted] Linguist [redacted] system. What if someone in [redacted] that had previously been forwarded to [redacted] will this status appear on the forwarded call in [redacted].

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

Thanks,



UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

[redacted] (OTD) (FBI)

[redacted] (OTD) (FBI) b2
Wednesday, June 21, 2006 8:23 AM b6
[redacted] (OTD) (FBI), [redacted] (OTD) (FBI), [redacted] b7C
[redacted] (OTD) (FBI) b7E
FW: Here's an example of [redacted] vs. RW vs [redacted]

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

What are you seeing on your end? Do we need to discuss this with RTN?

Thnx,
-ca

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, June 20, 2006 4:49 PM
To: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Subject: FW: Here's an example of [redacted] vs. RW vs [redacted]

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

FYI

I thought you guys should be aware of this. As you start to upload RW data and [redacted] data [redacted] this is a problem


-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, June 14, 2006 2:58 PM
To: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Subject: Here's an example of [redacted] vs. RW vs [redacted]

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Here's an example of how [redacted] sets up the call. The red call is RED WOLF. The blue call is [redacted]. This is a [redacted] CALEA Target [redacted] the Red Wolf. This is an incoming call to the target. The telephone numbers have been changed slightly so that I can send it without classifying the message.

(S)



b1
b2
b4
b7E

As you can see, the call start times are close enough to match up, but the durations are very different. The analysts should be able to discern [redacted] as the RW [redacted]. Additionally, since RW [redacted]

[Redacted]

I can't give you an example of the outgoing situation because therein lies another problem [Redacted]

b2
b7E

[Redacted]

[Redacted] but by RW) [Redacted] is going to get very messy very fast
We are also adding a huge volume of call activity which is meaningless and worse, misleading.

[Redacted] is really screwed up by this I don't know if they know it yet [Redacted]
[Redacted] will be very ugly.

I am signing out now--other work is piling I just wanted to pass on the extent of this issue I don't know if [Redacted] knows this is going on or if anyone cares, but from a data assurance and analytical viewpoint, this is not good I can provide my local types [Redacted] is really our only connection Thanks for clearing things up for me re [Redacted]

[Redacted]
Telecommunications Specialist

[Redacted]
[Redacted] desk
[Redacted] mobile

b2
b6
b7C

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

[redacted] (OTD) (FBI)

[redacted] (OTD) (FBI)

Thursday, June 22, 2006 5 15 PM

[redacted] (OTD) (FBI)

[redacted] (OTD) (CON), [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)

Response to Supplemental Procedures

b6
b7C

~~SECRET~~

RECORD 268-HQ-1409178

~~SECRET~~

RECORD 268-HQ-1409178

[redacted]

b6
b7C

Please review our response to your questions below. I've pieced together various parts of your original correspondence.

From MJ.

Gents,

I attended a meeting with the front office this afternoon and it seems the essential RW questions to be answered are these: Is there a way to advise/notify the viewer there are additional minimalization guidelines/procedures to be viewed and acknowledged by the viewer? Is there space in the system to do this? Would there be additional costs to incorporate changes and how much? It was generally agreed the audit procedures mentioned below needs to be handled by field office/FBIHQ and not EDMS or RW. Are these assumptions correct? Short response time on this (tomorrow). See [redacted] me if there are questions. Thanks.

b6
b7C

-----Original Appointment-----

From: [redacted] (OTD)(CON) On Behalf Of [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)

Sent: Wednesday, June 21, 2006 8:52 AM

To: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (ITD) (FBI)

Subject: Updated: RE: Supplemental procedures

When: Wednesday, June 21, 2006 1:30 PM-2:00 PM (GMT-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada).

Where:

I don't understand how we, the people that provide technical capability, satisfy an audit request that deals with the propriety of collected data. I see this as the responsibility of the substantive squad in the field and the substantive desk at HQ. Pls get together with me at 4 PM today to discuss how we satisfy this request.

Thanks [redacted]

From: DICLEMENTE, ANTHONY P (OTD) (FBI)

Sent: Tuesday, June 20, 2006 10 14 AM

To: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)

Cc: [redacted] (ITD) (FBI), [redacted] (OGC) (FBI), [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)

Subject: RE: Supplemental procedures

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

~~SECRET~~

RECORD FISA -315

[redacted]

I will have DITU initiate the audit of [redacted] agree w/conducting a joint audit of [redacted]. I will have UC [redacted] contact you to coordinate. I will have DITU coordinate w/ [redacted] on the final submission to [redacted].

Anthony P. DiClemente
Chief, Digital Evidence Section
Operational Technology Division

[Redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, June 19, 2006 3:15 PM
To: [Redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [Redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Subject: FW: Supplemental procedures
Importance: High

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

~~SECRET~~
RECORD FISA -315

[Redacted]

Two very important tasks listed below. The most critical is the July 1, to have started an audit, of the [Redacted] Red Wolf [Redacted] systems (see below for details).

[Redacted] and probably should respond jointly on that one. Do you see a need to coordinate the response on the other systems.

[Redacted] Also note the Sept deadline on EDMS.'

Your thoughts?

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

Assistant Section Chief
Electronic Surveillance Technology Section
Operational Technology Division

[Redacted]

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, June 19, 2006 10:27 AM
To: [Redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [Redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Cc: [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: FW: Supplemental procedures
Importance: High

~~SECRET~~
RECORD FISA -315

[Redacted]

Have the below 2 items been logged as "to do" so that we can track to completion?

(4) No later than September 1, 2006, the FBI will provide a mechanism for all personnel with access to [Redacted] to receive notice of, and have the ability to review, all supplemental minimization procedures that may apply to an authorized FISA collection. ~~(S)~~

Response 1)



b2
b7E

The status of the audit will be reported to OIPR no later than September 15, 2006, which information OIPR shall forward to the Court no later than October 1, 2006.

~~DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign CounterIntelligence Investigations
DECLASSIFICATION EXEMPTION 1
SECRET~~

~~DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign CounterIntelligence Investigations
DECLASSIFICATION EXEMPTION 1
SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

DATE: 07-21-2009
CLASSIFIED BY UC60322LP/PLJ/CC
REASON: 1.4 (c)
DECLASSIFY ON: 07-21-2034

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED EXCEPT
WHERE SHOWN OTHERWISE

[redacted] (OTD) (FBI)

[redacted] (OTD) (FBI)

Wednesday, July 19, 2006 5:45 PM

[redacted] (OTD) (FBI)

RE DCS 5000 /RedWolf PURGE procedure

b6
b7C

~~SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED~~
RECORD 268-HQ-1045581

Wow! I'm afraid to read this thing do I have too?

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, July 19, 2006 8:45 AM
To:

[Large redacted area]

Cc:

Subject: DCS 5000 /RedWolf PURGE procedure

~~SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED~~
RECORD 268-HQ-1045581

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

[Redacted area]

~~SECRET~~

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

Serial Description ~ COVER SHEET

- Total Deleted Page(s) ~ 23
- Page 56 ~ Duplicate #1083022 OTD/SPTU Documents MJ13 Page 224
- Page 57 ~ Duplicate
- Page 58 ~ Duplicate
- Page 59 ~ Duplicate
- Page 95 ~ Outside the Scope see 1062404-1 Redwolf OGC/NSLPTU Docs.
- Page 96 ~ Outside the Scope see 1062404-1 OGC/NSLPTU Docs. Pg
- Page 97 ~ Outside the Scope see 1062404-1 OGC/NSLPTU Docs. Pg
- Page 98 ~ Outside the Scope see 1062404-1 OGC/NSLPTU Docs. Pg
- Page 99 ~ Duplicate #1062404-001 OTC/STLU CD Documents Sec. 1 Pg. 416
- Page 100 ~ Duplicate
- Page 101 ~ Duplicate
- Page 102 ~ Duplicate
- Page 104 ~ b2, b5, b6, b7C, b7E
- Page 105 ~ b2, b5, b6, b7C, b7E
- Page 135 ~ b2, b4, b7E
- Page 247 ~ Duplicate #1083022 OTD/SPTU Documents DR9 Page 161
- Page 248 ~ Duplicate
- Page 249 ~ Duplicate
- Page 250 ~ Duplicate
- Page 251 ~ Duplicate
- Page 252 ~ Duplicate
- Page 253 ~ Duplicate
- Page 254 ~ Duplicate

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X Deleted Page(s) X
X No Duplication Fee X
X for this Page X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

Serial Description ~ COVER SHEET

Total Deleted Page(s) ~ 38

- Page 3 ~ Duplicate
- Page 4 ~ Duplicate
- Page 5 ~ Duplicate
- Page 6 ~ Duplicate
- Page 7 ~ Duplicate
- Page 8 ~ Duplicate
- Page 11 ~ Duplicate
- Page 12 ~ Duplicate
- Page 13 ~ Duplicate
- Page 14 ~ Duplicate
- Page 52 ~ Duplicate
- Page 53 ~ Duplicate
- Page 88 ~ Duplicate
- Page 89 ~ Duplicate
- Page 90 ~ Duplicate
- Page 97 ~ Duplicate
- Page 98 ~ Duplicate
- Page 101 ~ Duplicate
- Page 102 ~ Duplicate
- Page 103 ~ Duplicate
- Page 104 ~ Duplicate
- Page 105 ~ Duplicate
- Page 108 ~ Duplicate
- Page 109 ~ Duplicate
- Page 110 ~ Duplicate
- Page 111 ~ Duplicate
- Page 112 ~ Duplicate
- Page 113 ~ Duplicate
- Page 114 ~ Duplicate
- Page 115 ~ Duplicate
- Page 116 ~ Duplicate
- Page 117 ~ Duplicate
- Page 118 ~ Duplicate
- Page 119 ~ Duplicate
- Page 280 ~ Duplicate
- Page 281 ~ Duplicate
- Page 321 ~ Duplicate
- Page 322 ~ Duplicate

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X Deleted Page(s) X
X No Duplication Fee X
X for this Page X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

Serial Description ~ COVER SHEET

Total Deleted Page(s) ~ 23

- Page 24 ~ Duplicate
- Page 26 ~ Duplicate
- Page 76 ~ Duplicate
- Page 77 ~ Duplicate
- Page 78 ~ Duplicate
- Page 83 ~ Duplicate FOIA 1083022 Redwolf OTD/SPTU Documents MJ13
- Page 84 ~ Duplicate Duplicate FOIA 1083022 Redwolf OTD/SPTU Documents
- Page 109 ~ Duplicate FOIA 1083022 OTD/SPTU Documents KB Section 1
- Page 110 ~ Duplicate FOIA 1083022 OTD/SPTU Documents KB Section 1
- Page 111 ~ Duplicate FOIA 1083022 OTD/SPTU Documents KB Section 1
- Page 186 ~ Duplicate
- Page 187 ~ Duplicate
- Page 189 ~ Duplicate
- Page 192 ~ Duplicate FOIA 1083022 OTD/SPTU DOCUMENTS CH
- Page 193 ~ Duplicate FOIA 1083022 OTD/SPTU Documents CH
- Page 202 ~ Duplicate FOIA 1083023 Red Wolf Documents CJ7a
- Page 278 ~ Duplicate FOIA 1083022 Red Wolf OTD/SPTU Documents CR (CON(
- Page 279 ~ Duplicate OIA 1083022 Red Wolf OTD/SPTU Documents
- Page 280 ~ Duplicate FOIA 1083022 Red Wolf OTD/SPTU Documents
- Page 281 ~ Duplicate FOIA 1062404-1 OGC/STLU Red Wolf CD Documents
- Page 282 ~ Duplicate FOIA 1062404-1 OGC/STLU Red Wolf CD Documents
- Page 283 ~ Duplicate FOIA 1062404-1 OGC/STLU Red Wolf CD Documents
- Page 284 ~ Duplicate FOIA 1062404-1 OGC/STLU Red Wolf CD Documents

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X Deleted Page(s) X
X No Duplication Fee X
X for this Page X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

[redacted] (OTD) (FBI)

From: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, September 22, 2006 3:46 PM
To: [redacted] (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Subject: FW: [redacted] nstallation

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

[redacted]
I think the [redacted] is reliable. The problems you have described are not unique to the [redacted]. The [redacted] I recommend the data from the DCS-3000 be uploaded because the [redacted] used by the DCS-3000 is currently [redacted].

The [redacted] is a problem without a simple solution. Unfortunately, the CALEA solutions used by [redacted] providers leave us with only one method to correlate [redacted]. That is, the

b2
b7E

If you have any ideas to help us improve the current technique or if you want to further discuss the problem, let me know. I hope to see you in [redacted] next month.

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, September 22, 2006 2:56 PM
To: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Subject: FW: [redacted] nstallation

b6
b7C
b2
b7E

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (FBI)
Sent: Friday, September 22, 2006 1:06 PM
To: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: [redacted] nstallation

b2
b7E

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

It's not a [redacted] problem [redacted]. As a result of this the agents become confused as to [redacted]. [redacted] If the current solution is not reliable then I do not want it. It will just create other problems.

TTA

[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted] (Desk)
[Redacted] (Cell)

b2
b6
b7C

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, September 22, 2006 10:01 AM
To: [Redacted] (FBI)
Cc: [Redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Subject: [Redacted] installation

b2
b7E

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

[Redacted]

b6
b7C

The [Redacted] is not a reliable solution at this time. I don't understand you when you say it will help you with your [Redacted] problem? If [Redacted] thinks the [Redacted] should be installed at your site then so be it. I'll check to see what he wants to do

Thnx,

[Redacted]

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

[Redacted] (OTD) (FBI)

From: [Redacted] (OI) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, October 06, 2006 4:06 PM
To: [Redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [Redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [Redacted]
[Redacted] (ITD) (CON)
Cc: [Redacted] (OI) (FBI); [Redacted] (OI) (FBI)
Subject: FW: QC materials across b6
b7C

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

FYI, I don't know if this office will continue to be problematic, but apparently they don't think it's proper to put things onto CD. Don't you guys have a little system you deploy just for that purpose to some offices? Anyway, this is a head's up. If it gets more difficult we may be calling on you to give some input. For critical QC work, this (or wanning 1 or 2 calls at a time) will be an on-going requirement and I hope the security rules do not prohibit it.

[Redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted] (OI) (FBI) b6
Sent: Friday, October 06, 2006 3:42 PM b7C
To: [Redacted] (OI) (FBI)
Subject: FW: QC materials across

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

[Redacted] Start with my email at the bottom. Please help us resolve this ASAP.

[Redacted] -- A/UC
Quality Control Unit
Language Services
[Redacted]

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted] (OI) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, October 05, 2006 7:05 PM
To: [Redacted] (FBI)
Cc: [Redacted] (FBI); [Redacted] (FBI); [Redacted] (OI) (FBI); [Redacted] (FBI); [Redacted] (OI) (FBI)
Subject: RE: QC materials across

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

[Redacted]

If necessary, I will put you in touch with the appropriate SecDiv people and the ISSO from OTD. I don't have time to attend to the matter right now, but I can assure you that what is being requested here is common practice on Redwolf systems fieldwide. Yes, Redwolf [Redacted] instead of the linguist supervisor doing it herself.

I will be out of the office until Tuesday 10/10. Please give me a call then if you have any questions or concerns. Otherwise I will followup with SecDiv and/or OTD as necessary.

[Redacted] Unit Chief

Operations Management Unit
Language Services Section
Directorate of Intelligence

[Redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted] (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, October 05, 2006 3:29 PM
To: [Redacted] (OI)(FBI)
Cc: [Redacted] (FBI); [Redacted] (FBI); [Redacted] (OI) (FBI); [Redacted] (FBI)
Subject: RE: QC materials across

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

May,

As we discussed, the RW system was designed [Redacted]

[Redacted]

We have not and will not [Redacted] unless we receive an opinion and policy statement from SecD specifically stating it is permissible.

[Redacted] understands the need for the QC and the standards which you are establishing. Your requirements and those of SecD are in direct conflict and we will err on the side of security rather than allow an inadvertent disclosure.

SSA [Redacted]
Technical Operations, Squad 15

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

[Redacted] (Voice)
[Redacted] (Fax)
[Redacted] (Cell)

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted] (OI)(FBI)
Sent: Thursday, October 05, 2006 2:36 PM
To: [Redacted] (FBI); [Redacted] (OI) (FBI)
Cc: [Redacted] (FBI); [Redacted] (FBI)
Subject: QC materials across

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Hello [Redacted]

Thanks for talking to me about your concerns with [Redacted] for Quality Control review. I understand your concern, but this is, as I explained to you, a perfectly acceptable and legitimate function. It has been done for the last two years. We all would like to see [Redacted] Division be in compliance with the QC Policy. We laud [Redacted] for getting a very successful start, but she does need help just as other supervisors do in terms of tech support.

As I told you on the phone, [Redacted] so your idea of the QC reviewers accessing the QC materials from [Redacted] although excellent, will not work. Also, we can't be writing an EC or a record email each time we want to send QC materials between offices; that's time consuming and counter productive.

Therefore, I have asked [Redacted] Unit Chief of the Operations Management Unit, the guru of these matters, to

resolve this matter. Our concern is that the [] Division is in compliance with the QC policy and that [] tasks are facilitated.

Thank you again for your concern and help.

[] -- A/UC
Quality Control Unit
Language Services
[]

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (FBI)
Sent: Friday, October 06, 2006 12:40 PM
To: [redacted] (CON/FBI); [redacted] (OI) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (FBI); [redacted] (FBI); [redacted] (FBI); [redacted] (FBI); [redacted] (FBI); [redacted] (CON)

Subject: FISA Backlogs

b2
b7E
b6
b7C

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~
~~NON-RECORD~~

[redacted]

I got your VM thanks here's the deal in [redacted]

[Large redacted area]

(S)

b1

Let me know if this response is adequate---Thanks [redacted]

b2
b6
b7C

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

~~SECRET~~

the Red Wolf systems as far as CT work and backlog. We have received many responses and much helpful information, and even if it is painful, this exercise will probably be useful in the end to clean up some of the clutter on the RW systems nationwide

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

Some persons have already cleaned up their systems and changed the status of old sessions that are no longer needed on their systems. Others are looking at using [redacted] which was my suggestion, [redacted] [redacted] I wanted to clarify a few points about getting rid of these old materials.

A few things every office should remember regarding removing unwanted sessions from Red Wolf

1. Even though cases may be closed, before an administrator removes sessions they should make sure the case agent and/or CT ASAC have weighed in on how valid those sessions are. [redacted] [redacted] the approval to remove old case sessions would best be put in writing for future reference.

2. [redacted] informs me that to use [redacted] as I suggested could be more complicated than I had first imagined [redacted]

We are still in discussion with QT about this issue, looking for a possible easy solution, but for now [redacted] urges that you either go in and [redacted] if the deadline for cleaning the systems of unnecessary sessions is not met as we had asked by 10/11/2006, this is understandable if you have a large number of sessions to remove, but we request that the office make a real attempt of meeting that goal. We will ask for further direction regarding a more realistic deadline in those instances.

3. Note that even a sys admin has permissions to do so [redacted]

Please forward this information to all persons involved in the care and upkeep of the Red Wolf system in your office. Thank you.

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

[redacted]
Program Manager
Standards, Policy, Automation and Metrics (SPAM)
Operations Management Unit (OMU)
Language Services Section, DI

[redacted]
"SPAM ... CAN!"

~~DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign Counterintelligence Investigations
DECLASSIFICATION EXEMPTION 1
SECRET~~

~~DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign Counterintelligence Investigations
DECLASSIFICATION EXEMPTION 1
SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

DATE: 02-24-2009
CLASSIFIED BY 60322 UC/LP/STP/JCF
REASON: 1.4 (c, e)
DECLASSIFY ON: 02-24-2034

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED EXCEPT
WHERE SHOWN OTHERWISE

[redacted] (OTD) (FBI)

From: [redacted] (OI) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, October 11, 2006 1:34 PM
To: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (ITD) (CON)
Cc: [redacted] (OI) (FBI); [redacted] (OI) (FBI)
Subject: RE: REMOVING OLD CT CASES FROM RED WOLF

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

~~SECRET
RECORD~~

We can certainly discuss it then I have 3 large issues to talk to [redacted] and the rest of you about, and I didn't want to hijack the meeting I really think this will need to be more than just the 3 hour CMB

- 1 Running reports on backlog every week, and implications of changing how we focus on backlog in Red Wolf
- 2 Working to get review logs (comments on each session) mandatory on all CT cases nationwide, and getting the script LSTC uses put nationwide for capturing those comments

3 Getting together to talk with [redacted] they have to offer to FBI, which will allow [redacted]

(S)

b1
b6
b7C

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, October 11, 2006 1:15 PM
To: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (ITD) (CON)
Subject: RE: REMOVING OLD CT CASES FROM RED WOLF

~~SECRET
RECORD~~

How about the CMB Mtg next Wed (18OCT06)? Can it wait until then?

Thnx,
[redacted]

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (OI) (FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, October 10, 2006 4:42 PM
To: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (ITD) (CON); [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Subject: FW: REMOVING OLD CT CASES FROM RED WOLF
Importance: High

~~SECRET
RECORD~~

Fyi

This is my attempt to calm down the issues of the [redacted] However, obviously we need to talk about this some more

[redacted]

b6
b7C

~~SECRET~~

Unrecovered
Quantico

~~SECRET~~

[Redacted]

We are still in discussion with QT about this issue, looking for a possible easy solution, but for now Quantico urges that you either go in and [Redacted]

[Redacted] If the deadline for cleaning the systems of unnecessary sessions is not met as we had asked by 10/11/2006, this is understandable if you have a large number of sessions to remove, but we request that the office make a real attempt of meeting that goal. We will ask for further direction regarding a more realistic deadline in those instances.

3 Note that even a sys admin has permissions to do so. [Redacted]
[Redacted]

Please forward this information to all persons involved in the care and upkeep of the Red Wolf system in your office. Thank you.

[Redacted]

Program Manager
Standards, Policy, Automation and Metrics (SPAM)
Operations Management Unit (OMU)
Language Services Section, DI

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

[Redacted]

"SPAM ... CAN!"

~~DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign Counterintelligence Investigations
DECLASSIFICATION EXEMPTION 1
SECRET~~

~~DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign Counterintelligence Investigations
DECLASSIFICATION EXEMPTION 1
SECRET~~

~~DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign Counterintelligence Investigations
DECLASSIFICATION EXEMPTION 1
SECRET~~

~~DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign Counterintelligence Investigations
DECLASSIFICATION EXEMPTION 1
SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

Serial Description ~ COVER SHEET

Total Deleted Page(s) ~ 77

- Page 7 ~ Duplicate
- Page 8 ~ Duplicate
- Page 16 ~ Duplicate
- Page 17 ~ Duplicate
- Page 41 ~ Duplicate
- Page 42 ~ Duplicate
- Page 43 ~ Duplicate
- Page 44 ~ Duplicate
- Page 49 ~ Duplicate
- Page 50 ~ Duplicate
- Page 71 ~ Duplicate
- Page 72 ~ Duplicate
- Page 84 ~ Duplicate
- Page 85 ~ b2, b4, b7E
- Page 86 ~ b2, b4, b7E
- Page 87 ~ b2, b4, b7E
- Page 88 ~ b2, b4, b7E
- Page 89 ~ b1, b2, b4, b7E
- Page 90 ~ b1, b2, b4, b7E
- Page 91 ~ b1, b2, b4, b7E
- Page 92 ~ b2, b4, b7E
- Page 93 ~ b2, b4, b7E
- Page 94 ~ b2, b4, b7E
- Page 95 ~ b2, b4, b7E
- Page 96 ~ b2, b4, b7E
- Page 97 ~ b2, b4, b6, b7C, b7E
- Page 99 ~ b2, b4, b7E
- Page 100 ~ b2, b4, b7E
- Page 101 ~ b2, b4, b6, b7C, b7E
- Page 102 ~ Duplicate
- Page 103 ~ Duplicate
- Page 104 ~ Duplicate
- Page 105 ~ Duplicate
- Page 106 ~ Duplicate
- Page 107 ~ Duplicate
- Page 108 ~ Duplicate
- Page 109 ~ Duplicate
- Page 110 ~ Duplicate
- Page 111 ~ Duplicate
- Page 112 ~ Duplicate
- Page 113 ~ Duplicate
- Page 114 ~ Duplicate
- Page 115 ~ Duplicate
- Page 116 ~ Duplicate

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X Deleted Page(s) X
X No Duplication Fee X
X for this Page X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

Page 117 ~ Duplicate
Page 118 ~ Duplicate
Page 119 ~ Duplicate
Page 120 ~ Duplicate
Page 123 ~ Duplicate
Page 125 ~ Duplicate
Page 130 ~ Duplicate
Page 131 ~ Duplicate
Page 132 ~ Duplicate
Page 133 ~ Duplicate
Page 135 ~ Duplicate
Page 136 ~ Duplicate
Page 139 ~ Duplicate
Page 199 ~ b2, b7E
Page 200 ~ b2, b7E
Page 201 ~ b2, b7E
Page 227 ~ Duplicate
Page 228 ~ Duplicate
Page 229 ~ Duplicate
Page 230 ~ Duplicate
Page 231 ~ Duplicate
Page 232 ~ Duplicate
Page 233 ~ Duplicate
Page 234 ~ Duplicate
Page 236 ~ b2, b4, b6, b7C, b7E
Page 237 ~ Duplicate
Page 239 ~ b2, b4, b7E
Page 240 ~ b2, b4, b6, b7C, b7E
Page 242 ~ b2, b4, b7E
Page 243 ~ Duplicate
Page 244 ~ Duplicate
Page 245 ~ Duplicate
Page 246 ~ Duplicate

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X Deleted Page(s) X
X No Duplication Fee X
X for this Page X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

[redacted] (OTD) (FBI)

From: [redacted] (CON)
Sent: Monday, April 12, 2004 1:16 PM
To: [redacted] (FBI)
Subject: RE: Device IPs Within DCS-5000 Boundary.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 04-06-2009 BY UC 60322 LP/STP/SZ

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

[redacted]

I think I have the wrong manual. What I got on CD from [redacted] included the [redacted] "Administration Maintenance Manual for the RedWolf XG System". Can I get a copy (preferably soft) of what you're referring to? It needs to go into the [redacted]

Thanks,

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (FBI)
Sent: Monday, April 12, 2004 12:45 PM
To: [redacted] (CON); [redacted] (CON)
Cc: [redacted] (FBI)
Subject: RE: Device IPs Within DCS-5000 Boundary

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

b6
b7C
b2

[redacted]

The ip addresses are defined in DCS-5000 Admin Training Manual, Overview, pg. 6. Stop by any time and we can help you.

[redacted]

-ca

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (CON)
Sent: Monday, April 12, 2004 12:22 PM
To: [redacted] (CON); [redacted] (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (FBI)
Subject: RE: Device IPs Within DCS-5000 Boundary

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Thanks [redacted] I will try to get the other IPs from [redacted] By the way, what are the [redacted]

Thanks,

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (CON)
Sent: Monday, April 12, 2004 12:16 PM
To: [redacted] (CON); [redacted] (FBI)
Subject: RE: Device IPs Within DCS-5000 Boundary

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

I will provide what IP address information I have which is mainly the [redacted] and [redacted] addresses [redacted] is the DCS 5000 engineer.

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (CON)
Sent: Monday, April 12, 2004 11:50 AM
To: [redacted] (CON)
Subject: Device IPs Within DCS-5000 Boundary

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Hi [redacted]

As you might recall, I'm providing Certification & Accreditation support for the DCS-5000. I need the IP addresses for all devices within the 5000's accreditation boundary and was told you were the person to ask. I will need IPs for the [redacted] workstations, printer, [redacted] [redacted] and [redacted] I don't know how familiar you are with these devices, so if you like I can stop by and point out which ones I'm interested in.

Thanks,

[redacted]

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

[redacted] (OTD) (FBI)

b6
b7C

[redacted] (FBI)

Monday, April 12, 2004 12:49 PM

[redacted] (CON); [redacted] (CON)

RE: Device IPs Within DCS-5000 Boundary

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 04-06-2009 BY UC 60322 LP/STP/SZ

Both [redacted] and [redacted] reside in our RedWolf XG System.

[redacted] xfers recorded session files to archival and evidentiary [redacted] media
[redacted] controls routing [redacted] collection data to workstations and records the signal in a [redacted]
[redacted] format). Supports playback, archiving, and other post-processing.

b2
b7E

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (CON)

Sent: Monday, April 12, 2004 12:45 PM

To: [redacted] (CON)

Cc: [redacted] (FBI)

Subject: RE: Device IPs Within DCS-5000 Boundary

b6
b7C

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

[redacted] and [redacted] (Redwolf system) but I woul bounce this off of [redacted]
for verification.

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (CON)

Sent: Monday, April 12, 2004 12:22 PM

To: [redacted] (CON); [redacted] (FBI)

Cc: [redacted] (FBI)

Subject: RE: Device IPs Within DCS-5000 Boundary

b6
b7C

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Thanks [redacted] will try to get the other IPs from [redacted] By the way, what are the [redacted]

Thanks,

[redacted]

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (CON)
Sent: Monday, April 12, 2004 12:16 PM
To: [redacted] (CON); [redacted] (FBI)
Subject: RE: Device IPs Within DCS-5000 Boundary

b6
b7C

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

I will provide what IP address information I have which is mainly the [redacted] and [redacted] addresses [redacted] is the DCS 5000 engineer.

[redacted]

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (CON)
Sent: Monday, April 12, 2004 11:50 AM
To: [redacted] (CON)
Subject: Device IPs Within DCS-5000 Boundary

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Hi [redacted]

As you might recall, I'm providing Certification & Accreditation support for the DCS-5000. I need the IP addresses for all devices within the 5000's accreditation boundary, and was told you were the person to ask. I will need IPs for the [redacted] workstations, printer [redacted] [redacted] and [redacted] I don't know how familiar you are with these devices, so if you like I can stop by and point out which ones I'm interested in.

Thanks,

[redacted]

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

[redacted] (OTD) (FBI)

From: [redacted] (FBI)
Sent: Monday, April 19, 2004 11:07 AM
To: [redacted] (CON)
Subject: RE: Moratorium on [redacted]

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 04-06-2009 BY UC 60322 LP/STP/SZ

RedWolf is a collection system [redacted]
[redacted] used to conduct assigned missions. In short, it is a system designed to efficiently collect and analyze info.

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (CON)
Sent: Friday, April 16, 2004 9:20 AM
To: [redacted] (FBI); [redacted] (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (CON)
Subject: FW: Moratorium on [redacted]

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

As I indicated in my email yesterday, nothing is typically as bad or good as we initially hear or see. According to [redacted] guidance below, the moratorium was an urban legend, so we are permitted to [redacted] with the approved program [redacted]. The only thing I am not 100% clear on is whether or not the DCS-5000 is a [redacted]. At any rate, [redacted] told me that you should not hesitate to call him [redacted] with any further questions.

Regards,

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (FBI)
Sent: Friday, April 16, 2004 8:55 AM
To: [redacted] (CON)
Subject: RE: Moratorium on [redacted]

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

[Redacted]

I called HQ yesterday and found that the EC is a legend, yet everybody still believes in it. I'd say that [Redacted]

Cheers, [Redacted]

[Redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted] (CON)
Sent: Friday, April 16, 2004 8:50 AM
To: [Redacted] (FBI)
Subject: Moratorium on [Redacted]

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Hi [Redacted]

Please let me know when you've found the governing Bureau directive regarding the moratorium on [Redacted] post 9/11. I'm wondering if [Redacted] and hopefully this directive will provide details.

Thanks,

[Redacted]

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

[Redacted]

(OTD) (FBI)

b6
b7C

From:

[Redacted] (CON)

Sent:

Wednesday, April 21, 2004 2:44 PM

To:

[Redacted] (FBI); [Redacted] (FBI)

Subject:

Backup Procedures?

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

[Redacted]

I'm sure you guys have had it with my many emails regarding the 5000 C&A, but I'm not finished yet. :) Seriously, we've got a deadline of 30 April to turn in [Redacted] and I need to make sure we're prepared. At any rate, what I'm looking for are backup procedures, and this will go into our Contingency Plan. I looked through the Red Wolf XG Admin Training guide, but didn't see anything related to backups. Do we have anything in soft (preferred) or hardcopy? In absence of that, I could sit down with one of you and go through a backup, and document the steps.

Thanks,

[Redacted]

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 04-06-2009 BY UC 60322 LP/STP/SZ

[redacted] OTD) (FBI)

From: [redacted] (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, April 22, 2004 8:30 AM
To: [redacted] (CON)
Subject: RE: Backup Procedures?

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 04-06-2009 BY UC 60322 LP/STP/SZ

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

b6
b7C

I hate to say this, but we don't have a backup procedure. Let me discuss this with [redacted] when he returns tomorrow. We" get you your answer.

lol
[redacted]

ps - I didn't forget the floor layouts. Still trying to locate them.

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (CON)
Sent: Wednesday, April 21, 2004 2:44 PM
To: [redacted] (FBI), [redacted] (FBI)
Subject: Backup Procedures?

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

[redacted]

I'm sure you guys have had it with my many emails regarding the 5000 C&A, but I'm not finished yet. :) Seriously, we've got a deadline of 30 April to turn in [redacted] and I need to make sure we're prepared. At any rate, what I'm looking for are backup procedures, and this will go into our Contingency Plan. I looked through the Red Wolf XG Admin Training guide, but didn't see anything related to backups. Do we have anything in soft (preferred) or hardcopy? In absence of that, I could sit down with one of you and go through a backup, and document the steps.

Thanks,

[redacted]

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

[redacted] (OTD) (FBI)

b6
b7C

From: [redacted] (CON)
Sent: Thursday, April 22, 2004 8:46 AM
To: [redacted] (FBI)
Subject: RE: Backup Procedures?

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 04-06-2009 BY UC 60322 LP/STP/SZ

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Thanks [redacted] because I really need those things for the [redacted] (due next Friday).

Thanks,

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, April 22, 2004 8:30 AM
To: [redacted] (CON)
Subject: RE: Backup Procedures?

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

I hate to say this, but we don't have a backup procedure. Let me discuss this with [redacted] when he returns tomorrow. We'll get you your answer.

lol

[redacted]

ps - I didn't forget the floor layouts. Still trying to locate them.

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (CON)
Sent: Wednesday, April 21, 2004 2:44 PM
To: [redacted] (FBI); [redacted] (FBI)
Subject: Backup Procedures?

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

[redacted]

I'm sure you guys have had it with my many emails regarding the 5000 C&A, but I'm not finished yet. :) Seriously, we've got a deadline of 30 April to turn in [redacted] and I need to make sure we're prepared. At any rate, what I'm

looking for are backup procedures, and this will go into our Contingency Plan. I looked through the Red Wolf XG Admin Training guide, but didn't see anything related to backups. Do we have anything in soft (preferred) or hardcopy? In absence of that, I could sit down with one of you and go through a backup, and document the steps.

Thanks,



b2
b6
b7C

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

[redacted] (OTD) (FBI)

From: [redacted] (CON)
Sent: Friday, April 23, 2004 8:48 AM
To: [redacted] (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (FBI)
Subject: RE: Backup Procedures?

b6
b7c

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 04-06-2009 BY UC 60322 LP/STP/SZ

[redacted]

Someone placed a hardcopy of backup procedures on my desk this morning--if that was you, thanks. This is a good start, because it illustrates when the backups take place [redacted], what's backed up, and where it's backed up to. We just need to be in agreement that this will be THE backup parameters, and come up with some simple procedures [redacted] storage, etc.). At any rate, these backup procedures appear to only address [redacted] so we're going to need something related to Windows systems. Let me know if you want to huddle up today and try to knock something out.

Gracias,

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, April 22, 2004 8:30 AM
To: [redacted] (CON)
Subject: RE: Backup Procedures?

b2
b6
b7c
b7E

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

I hate to say this, but we don't have a backup procedure. Let me discuss this with [redacted] when he returns tomorrow. We'll get you your answer.

lol,

[redacted]

ps - I didn't forget the floor layouts. Still trying to locate them.

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (CON)
Sent: Wednesday, April 21, 2004 2:44 PM
To: [redacted] (FBI); [redacted] (FBI)
Subject: Backup Procedures?

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

NON-RECORD

[Redacted]

I'm sure you guys have had it with my many emails regarding the 5000 C&A, but I'm not finished yet. :) Seriously, we've got a deadline of 30 April to turn in [Redacted] and I need to make sure we're prepared. At any rate, what I'm looking for are backup procedures, and this will go into our Contingency Plan. I looked through the Red Wolf XG Admin Training guide, but didn't see anything related to backups. Do we have anything in soft (preferred) or hardcopy? In absence of that, I could sit down with one of you and go through a backup, and document the steps.

Thanks,

[Redacted]

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

[redacted] (OTD) (FBI)

From: [redacted] (FBI)
Sent: Friday, April 23, 2004 1:02 PM
To: [redacted] (CON)
Cc: [redacted] (FBI)
Subject: RE: Backup Procedures?

b6
b7C

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 04-06-2009 BY UC 60322 LP/STP/SZ

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

[redacted]
[redacted] is the only windows portion, but doesn't contain any [redacted] is passed directly through
the [redacted] The only backups required would be to the [redacted] which stores the configuration
file for this [redacted] I suppose the process could be somewhat automated. [redacted] accomplishesthis by [redacted]

b2
b4
b6
b7C
b7E

Manual method: \

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (CON)
Sent: Friday, April 23, 2004 8:48 AM
To: [redacted] (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (FBI)
Subject: RE: Backup Procedures?

b6
b7C

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

[redacted]
Someone placed a hardcopy of backup procedures on my desk this morning--if that was you, thanks. This is a good start, because it illustrates when the backups take place [redacted] what's backed up, and where it's backed up to. We just need to be in agreement that this will be THE backup parameters, and come up with some simple procedures [redacted] storage, etc.). At any rate, these backup procedures appear to only address [redacted] so we're going to need something related to Windows systems. Let me know if you want to huddle up today and try to knock something out.

Gracias,

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

[redacted]