1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA			
8				
9		ording Corporation, et. al.,)	No. CV 06-02076-PHX-NVW	
10		laintiffs,)	ORDER	
11	VS.)		
12 13	Pamela and Jeffery Howell, wife and) husband,			
14	Γ	Defendants.		
15)			
16				
17	IT IS ORDERED that the parties submit further briefing and prepare themselves to			
18	discuss at oral argument on October 18, 2007, the following questions:			
19	1.	Does the record in this case	show that it is impossible for plaintiff to prove	
20		particular instances of defendant Howell's illegal distribution of the copyrighted material through Kazaa, and that defendant Howell is responsible		
21	for the absence of such records of distribution?			
22	2. Does the record in this case show that defendant Howell possessed "unlawful copy" of the plaintiff's copyrighted material, and that he actual		se show that defendant Howell possessed an tiff's copyrighted material, and that he actually	
23	disseminated that copy to the public?			
24 25	3. Does the record in this case show that defendant Howell offered to distribute the plaintiff's copyrighted work "for purposes of further distribution, public performance, or display?"			
26	4. Did defendant Howell admit on the record that he is responsible for the			
27	plaintiff's copyrighted material appearing in his Kazaa shared folder?			
28				

The court is particularly interested in the following cases regarding the need to show an actual transfer of an identifiable copy of a copyrighted work to prove infringement of the right to distribute: Hotaling v. Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints, 118 F.3d 199 (4th Cir. 1997); A&M Records, Inc. v. Napster, Inc., 239 F.3d 1004 (9th Cir. 2000); In Re. Napster, Inc. Copyright Litigation, 377 F. Supp. 2d 796 (N.D. Cal. 2005); Perfect 10, Inc. v. Google, Inc., 487 F.3d 701 (9th Cir. 2007). The parties briefs should be submitted to the court by Thursday, October 11, 2007, one week before the scheduled oral argument. If plaintiff needs more time to brief these questions, the court will reschedule the oral argument. DATED this 3rd day of October 2007. / ubo Wake Veil V United States District Judge - 2 -