

1 ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION
 CINDY COHN (145997)
 2 cindy@eff.org
 LEE TIEN (148216)
 3 tien@eff.org
 KURT OPSAHL (191303)
 4 kurt@eff.org
 KEVIN S. BANKSTON (217026)
 5 bankston@eff.org
 CORYNNE MCSHERRY (221504)
 6 corynne@eff.org
 JAMES S. TYRE (083117)
 7 jstyre@eff.org
 454 Shotwell Street
 8 San Francisco, CA 94110
 Telephone: 415/436-9333
 9 415/436-9993 (fax)

10 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

11 [Additional counsel appear on signature page.]

12

13

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

14

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

15

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

16

TASH HEPTING, GREGORY HICKS,
 CAROLYN JEWEL and ERIK KNUTZEN, on
 17 Behalf of Themselves and All Others Similarly
 18 Situated,,

19

Plaintiffs,

20

v.

21

AT&T CORP., et al.,

22

Defendants.

23

24

No. C-06-0672-VRW

CLASS ACTION

**DECLARATION OF MICHAEL M.
 MARKMAN PURSUANT TO FED. R.
 CIV. P. 56(F) IN OPPOSITION TO
 MOTION TO DISMISS, OR, IN THE
 ALTERNATIVE, FOR SUMMARY
 JUDGMENT BY THE UNITED STATES
 OF AMERICA BASED ON STATE
 SECRETS PRIVILEGE**

Courtroom: 6, 17th Floor
 Judge: The Hon. Vaughn R. Walker,
 Chief Judge

25

26

REDACTED PUBLIC VERSION

27

28

1 I, Michael M. Markman, declare as follows:

2 1. I am an attorney with the law firm of Heller Ehrman LLP, counsel of record for
3 Plaintiffs in this action. I am licensed to practice before this Court and I have personal
4 knowledge of the facts stated herein, unless otherwise indicated.

5 2. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is a true and correct copy of Executive Order Number
6 12968, dated August 4, 1995.

7 3. Attached hereto as Exhibit 2 is a true and correct copy of the May 16, 2006 White
8 House Press Conference titled President Bush and Prime Minister John Howard of Australia
9 Participate in Joint Press Availability.

10 4. Attached hereto as Exhibit 3 is a true and correct copy of the transcript of the CNN
11 Late Edition with Wolf Blitzer interview with Bill Frist, aired May 14, 2006.

12 5. Attached hereto as Exhibit 4 is a true and correct copy of the May 17, 2006
13 Scheduling Conference in this matter.

14 6. Attached hereto as Exhibit 5 is a true and correct copy of the USA Today article
15 titled *NSA Has Massive Database of Americans' Phone Calls; 3 Telecoms Help Government*
16 *Collect Billions of Domestic Records*, dated May 11, 2006.

17 7. Attached hereto as Exhibit 6 is a true and correct copy of a statement set forth on the
18 website DenverPost.com from counsel for Joseph Nacchio, CEO of Qwest, dated May 12, 2006.

19 8. Attached hereto as Exhibit 7 is a true and correct copy of the of Executive Order
20 Number 13292, dated May 25, 2003.

21 9. Attached hereto as Exhibit 8 is a true and correct copy of the of Executive Order
22 Number 12333, dated December 4, 1981.

23
24
25
26
27
28

1 10. I am familiar with the records and proceedings in this action, with the exception of
2 the *in camera, ex parte* materials submitted to the Court by the government. Plaintiffs have
3 diligently developed the factual record relating to their claims, and marshaled evidence in
4 support of those claims on the pending motion for preliminary injunction. Although Plaintiffs
5 contend that the evidence already set forth in the record of these proceedings is sufficient to
6 defeat the government's motion, should the Court believe that critical evidence is missing
7 (whether by operation of the state secrets privilege or otherwise), Plaintiffs respectfully submit
8 that further information supporting their claims is in the hands of other parties. Non-privileged
9 discovery is likely to reveal additional facts that will contribute to the genuine issues of material
10 fact that counsel denial of the government's motion in the alternative for summary judgment.

11 11. The information that Plaintiffs intend to uncover through discovery exists in several
12 sources, as outlined below. As a preliminary matter, Plaintiffs would ask the Court to require
13 Defendants to answer the Amended Complaint, thereby potentially obtaining admissions that
14 would support Plaintiffs' claims.

15 12. Plaintiffs would request an inspection of the premises of AT&T's [REDACTED] Street
16 facility under Fed. R. Civ. P. 34, including the [REDACTED] room, the [REDACTED], the
17 inside and outside of the [REDACTED], and the area outside the [REDACTED] Room. Plaintiffs
18 would also request an inspection of the premises outside of other of AT&T's [REDACTED] rooms, which
19 the record indicates exist in [REDACTED]. Klein
20 Decl., ¶ 36.

21 13. Plaintiffs would take the depositions (or obtain the sworn declarations) of former
22 AT&T employees with knowledge of, and who worked in, the [REDACTED] Room, doing so in a
23 manner that would protect the identities of these witnesses, as needed.

24 14. Plaintiffs would take the deposition of government officials, including Michael
25 Chertoff, concerning AT&T's work with the NSA. Plaintiffs could, if necessary, limit the
26 examination to public statements made by Mr. Chertoff and other government officials
27 regarding the existence of the program.
28

1 15. Plaintiffs would seek to obtain declarations from, or propound depositions on
2 written questions to, the confidential sources quoted in news reports to overcome the hearsay
3 nature of the news reports in which they were quoted, to the extent Plaintiffs are able to identify
4 those confidential sources.

5 16. Plaintiffs would take depositions of reporters, including Morton Kondracke,
6 regarding their personal knowledge of published information, and regarding their discussions
7 with non confidential sources of non-privileged information. Plaintiffs would not seek to depose
8 reporters in an effort to identify confidential sources.

9 17. Plaintiffs would serve requests for admissions regarding the fact of AT&T's
10 interception and disclosure of the named Plaintiffs' communications and data about such
11 communications.

12 18. Plaintiffs would take the depositions of Qwest executives regarding non-privileged
13 discussions with the NSA pertaining to warrantless wiretapping. Published accounts note that
14 unlike AT&T, Qwest has publicly disclosed that they rejected requests by the NSA that Qwest
15 violate the law by intercepting and disclosing customer communications and data. *See Ex. 6*
16 hereto.

17 19. Plaintiffs would propound targeted interrogatories to AT&T regarding: the names,
18 internal designations, IP addresses and circuit IDs of AT&T routers and router interfaces,
19 including but not limited to routers and router interfaces at the [REDACTED] Street Facility, such that
20 the "traceroute" program could be used to determine the path of electronic communications sent
21 or received by plaintiffs; facts in the record (e.g., the existence of the [REDACTED] and [REDACTED],
22 the nature of the data carried by the fiber-optic cable subject to the [REDACTED], and the equipment
23 provided by AT&T for use inside the [REDACTED] Room); and the identities of persons with knowledge
24 of those facts, without inquiring about how the intercepted and disclosed data might be filtered
25 and used by the government.
26
27
28

20. Plaintiffs would also propound targeted interrogatories to AT&T regarding facts the information available under 50 U.S.C. § 1806(f) and 1845(f), and the identities of persons with knowledge of those facts, seeking information about the interception of telephone data, and of call data records for the government, and the storage of these materials within AT&T facilities and on AT&T hardware for the government.

21. Each of the topics of specific discovery outlined above is highly likely to yield further evidence of a genuine dispute of material fact relating to all of Plaintiffs' claims.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed at San Francisco, California, this 8th day of June, 2006.

Michael M. Markman

ROBERT D. FRAM
MICHAEL M. MARKMAN
ETHAN C. GLASS
SAMUEL F. ERNST
NATHAN E. SHAFROTH
ELENA M. DIMUZIO
333 Bush Street
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: 415/772-6000
415-772-6268 (fax)

LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER
RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP
REED R. KATHREIN
JEFF D. FRIEDMAN
SHANA E. SCARLETT
MARIA V. MORRIS
100 Pine Street, Suite 2600
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: 415/288-4545
415/288-4534 (fax)

TRABER & VOORHEES
BERT VOORHEES (137623)
bv@tvlegal.com
THERESA M. TRABER (116305)
tmt@tvlegal.com
128 North Fair Oaks Avenue, Suite 204
Pasadena, CA 91103
Telephone: 626/585-9611
626/ 577-7079 (fax)

LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER
RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP
ERIC ALAN ISAACSON
655 West Broadway, Suite 1900
San Diego, CA 92101
Telephone: 619/231-1058
619/231-7423 (fax)

LAW OFFICE OF RICHARD R.
WIEBE
RICHARD R. WIEBE
425 California Street, Suite 2025
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: 415/433-3200
415/433-6382 (fax)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on June 20, 2006, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the e-mail addresses denoted on the attached Electronic Mail Notice List, and I hereby certify that I have mailed the foregoing document or paper via the United States Postal Service to the following non-CM/ECF participants:

David W. Carpenter
Sidley Austin Brown & Wood LLP
Bank One Plaza
10 South Dearborn Street
Chicago, IL 60600

David L. Lawson
Sidley Austin Brown & Wood
172 Eye Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20006

By _____ /s/
Cindy A. Cohn, Esq. (SBN.145997)
ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION
454 Shotwell Street
San Francisco, CA 94110
Telephone: (415) 436-9333 x108
Facsimile: (415) 436-9993
cindy@eff.org