1	Pursuant to Lo	ocal Rule 3-12(d)(2), plaintiffs state that <i>Roe</i> names the same
2	defendants as named in <i>Hepting</i> (i.e., AT&T Corp. and AT&T Inc.) and that both <i>Roe</i> and	
3	Hepting allege that these defendants violated federal communications statutes and the California	
4	Unfair Competition Law by illegally providing the federal government with AT&T's customers	
5	telecommunications records. (Roe names additional AT&T corporate entities.) Plaintiffs in Roe	
6	and <i>Hepting</i> raise causes of actions for violations of 28 U.S.C. § 2702, 47 U.S.C. § 605, and	
7	California Bus. & Prof. Code §§17200, et seq. (Hepting includes additional causes of action that	
8	Roe does not include.)	
9	Pursuant to Local Rule 3-12(a)(2), it appears likely that there will be an unduly	
10	burdensome duplication of labor and expense or the possibility of conflicting results if the cases	
11	are conducted before different Judges.	
12	Dated: June 5, 2006	Respectfully submitted,
13		
14		By: /s/ Eric B. Fastiff
15		Eric B. Fastiff
16		James M. Finberg Barry R. Himmelstein
17		Michael W. Sobol Eric B. Fastiff
18		LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP 275 Battery Street, 30th Floor
19		San Francisco, CA 94111-3339 Telephone: (415) 956-1000
20		Facsimile: (415) 956-1008
21		Attorneys for Individual and Representative Plaintiffs Benson B. Roe and Paul Goltz
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

## PROOF OF SERVICE BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY

2 I am a citizen of the United States and employed in San Francisco County, 3 California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within-entitled action. My 4 business address is Embarcadero Center West, 275 Battery Street, 30th Floor, San Francisco, 5 California 94111-3339. On June 5, 2006, I caused to be deposited with Federal Express, a true 6 and correct copy of the within documents: ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED 7 8 in a sealed envelope, addressed to the parties in Roe, et al. v. AT&T Corp., et al., case no. C 06-9 03467 MMC as follows: 10 AT&T CORP. PACIFIC BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY 11 c/o CT Corporation System c/o CT Corporation System 818 West Seventh St. 818 West Seventh St. 12 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Los Angeles, CA 90017 13 AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF AT&T INC. c/o CT Corporation System CALIFORNIA, INC. 14 350 N. St. Paul c/o CT Corporation System 818 West Seventh St. Dallas, TX 75201 15 Los Angeles, CA 90017 16 SBC LONG DISTANCE, LLC c/o CT Corporation System 17 818 West Seventh St. Los Angeles, CA 90017 18

Following ordinary business practices, the envelope was sealed and placed for collection by Federal Express on this date, and would, in the ordinary course of business, be retrieved by Federal Express for overnight delivery on this date.

I declare that I am a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.

Service on the parties to Hepting, et al. v. AT&T Corp. et al., case no. C-06-0672-VRW was made by electronic filing using the Court's CM/ECF system.

Executed on June 5, 2006, at San Francisco, California.

\s\ Barry R. Himmelstein Barry R. Himmelstein

27

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

28