

1 PETER D. KEISLER  
 Assistant Attorney General, Civil Division  
 2 CARL J. NICHOLS  
 Deputy Assistant Attorney General  
 3 DOUGLAS N. LETTER  
 Terrorism Litigation Counsel  
 4 JOSEPH H. HUNT  
 Director, Federal Programs Branch  
 5 ANTHONY J. COPPOLINO  
 Special Litigation Counsel  
 6 [tony.coppolino@usdoj.gov](mailto:tony.coppolino@usdoj.gov)  
 RENÉE S. ORLEANS  
 7 ANDREW H. TANNENBAUM  
 ALEXANDER K. HAAS  
 8 Trial Attorneys  
 U.S. Department of Justice  
 9 Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch  
 20 Massachusetts Avenue, NW  
 10 Washington, D.C. 20001  
 Phone: (202) 514-4782  
 11 Fax: (202) 616-8470  
*Attorneys for Federal Defendants in their Official Capacities  
 and Federal Intervenor-Defendants (United States of America,  
 National Security Agency, President George W. Bush)*

14 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
 15 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
 16 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

17		)	<b>No. M:06-cv-01791-VRW</b>
18	IN RE NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY	)	
19	TELECOMMUNICATIONS RECORDS	)	<b>RESPONSE OF THE UNITED</b>
20	LITIGATION	)	<b>STATES TO <i>HEPTING</i> PLAINTIFFS'</b>
21	<u>This Document Relates To:</u>	)	<b>ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR</b>
22		)	<b>SCHEDULING ORDER</b>
23	<i>Hepting, et al. v. AT&amp;T Corp., et al.,</i>	)	Courtroom: 6, 17 <sup>th</sup> Floor
24	No. C 06 0672 VRW	)	Judge: Hon. Vaughn R. Walker
25		)	

26 **INTRODUCTION**

27 Plaintiffs in the *Hepting* action have moved the Court to schedule a Case Management  
 28 Conference (“CMC”) that would focus primarily on issues specific to the *Hepting* case. *See*  
*Hepting* Plaintiffs’ Administrative Motion for Scheduling Order (Dkt. No. 39). The United

United States Response to *Hepting*  
 Motion for Scheduling Order  
 MDL No. 06-1791-VRW

1 States' position is that the first order of business in any CMC should be to address case  
2 management issues that apply to this multidistrict litigation ("MDL") proceeding as a whole.  
3 The *Hepting* plaintiffs instead seek a conference that would focus largely on issues specific to  
4 their case. *See* Dkt. No. 39 at 2. But those issues were discussed at length with the Court at the  
5 *Hepting* status conference on August 8, 2006, and nothing has changed in the interim to warrant  
6 another conference on the same topics. In particular, the Court of Appeals has yet to rule on  
7 pending petitions for an interlocutory appeal of the Court's decision in *Hepting*, pursuant to 28  
8 U.S.C. § 1292(b). Whether that appeal is taken will have a direct bearing on further proceedings  
9 in *Hepting* and, indeed, for this MDL proceeding.

10 Thus, as discussed further below, the United States proposes that the more efficient and  
11 orderly course would be for the Court to schedule a CMC after the Court of Appeals has decided  
12 whether to hear an appeal in *Hepting*. When that CMC occurs, we further propose that it should  
13 address initial management procedures that will govern all of the cases transferred to this MDL  
14 proceeding. Indeed, the Court's prior orders in this proceeding appear to contemplate an initial  
15 case management conference, and the issuance of a consolidated case management order, for *all*  
16 cases. *See* Orders at Dkt. Nos. 15 and 19 (related to "ALL CASES").

#### 17 DISCUSSION

18 The point of MDL proceedings is to deal with numerous lawsuits in a manner that will  
19 promote their just and efficient resolution. But it is apparent from the *Hepting* plaintiffs' motion  
20 that they wish the Court to focus on matters specific to their own case, such as the filing of an  
21 Answer to the *Hepting* Complaint by the Defendants in that case, the specific discovery sought  
22 by the plaintiffs in that case, and the filing of a motion for a preliminary injunction in that case.  
23 *See Hepting* Motion (Dkt. No. 39) at 2. Indeed, the *Hepting* plaintiffs essentially propose to  
24 repeat the discussion of topics already addressed at the August 8, 2006, status conference in that  
25 case.

26 Since that status conference, however, the *Hepting* case has become one of more than

1 thirty cases that have been transferred to this Court for consolidated and coordinated  
2 proceedings. As a result, the United States submits that the focus of any CMC should concern  
3 case management issues and procedures that will apply to and govern all of the cases, not just the  
4 *Hepting* case.

5 Indeed, the United States respectfully submits that, because any ruling by the Court of  
6 Appeals in the *Hepting* appeal would have a direct bearing on the similar claims presented in the  
7 transferred cases, the Court should defer holding a CMC until after the Court of Appeals decides  
8 whether it will take that appeal. At that time, the first issue that a CMC should address is  
9 whether to stay all MDL proceedings while the *Hepting* appeal is pending.

10 The next issue to discuss in a CMC for this MDL proceeding would be how the multiple  
11 cases will be coordinated. For example, the Court may wish to consider who among the many  
12 counsel for plaintiffs will be designated to represent the plaintiffs' interests in a coordinated  
13 fashion. Consideration should also be given at that time to the development of a unified master  
14 complaint, since most of the transferred actions involve highly similar and overlapping claims  
15 (and putative classes) concerning: (i) alleged surveillance by the National Security Agency  
16 ("NSA") of the content of communications under the acknowledged Terrorist Surveillance  
17 Program; and/or (ii) alleged NSA actions to collect telephone call record information from  
18 telecommunications carriers.

19 If the Court does wish to address issues specific to the *Hepting* case, the parties could do  
20 so at that same CMC. While the United States would address pending issues further in a case  
21 management statement, we reiterate for now our position, stated at the August 8 conference, that  
22 the issues identified by the *Hepting* plaintiffs should be deferred until a ruling on the *Hepting*  
23 1292(b) petitions and pending any appeal, because the further proceedings proposed by the  
24 *Hepting* plaintiffs would undoubtedly implicate the state secret privilege issues that that United  
25 States has petitioned for review.

26 Finally, and as already noted, the United States submits that the Court should not

1 schedule a CMC—whatever its scope—until after the Court of Appeals decides the pending  
2 1292(b) petitions in *Hepting*, because whether the Court of Appeals will decide the issues  
3 presented by that appeal would obviously have a direct bearing on all the coordinated cases. In  
4 addition, the United States notes that proceedings before the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict  
5 Litigation (“JPML”) are not concluded with respect to several cases. In particular, plaintiffs in  
6 three actions against the United States—*Center for Constitutional Rights v. Bush*, (06-cv-313)  
7 (S.D.N.Y.); *Al-Haramain Islamic Foundation v. Bush*, (06-cv-274) (D. Ore.); and *Shubert v.*  
8 *Bush*, (06-cv-2282) (E.D. N.Y.)—have moved to vacate a conditional transfer order that would  
9 consolidate those cases with this MDL proceeding. Briefing on those motions should be  
10 complete by late October, and we expect they will be heard by the JPML in late November 2006.  
11 JPML proceedings are also pending with respect to cases brought by the United States against  
12 various state government entities and telecommunications carriers that seek to enjoin those state  
13 entities from requiring the disclosure to them of information related to alleged NSA foreign  
14 intelligence activities. *See* Exh. A (conditional transfer order dated September 28, 2006, for  
15 additional actions). Unless all parties consent to the transfer of these additional cases, briefing  
16 on whether these cases should be transferred to this MDL proceeding should be complete by late  
17 November 2006.

#### 18 CONCLUSION

19 For the foregoing reasons, the United States opposes the scheduling of a Case  
20 Management Conference that focuses on the specific issues raised by the *Hepting* case, but does  
21 not oppose a CMC that addresses case management issues and procedures applicable to all  
22 transferred cases. The United States respectfully proposes that this conference be set after a  
23 ruling on the 1292(b) petitions in the *Hepting* action.

24 Respectfully submitted,

25 PETER D. KEISLER  
26 Assistant Attorney General, Civil Division

27 **United States Response to *Hepting***  
28 **Motion for Scheduling Order**  
**MDL No. 06-1791-VRW**

CARL J. NICHOLS  
Deputy Assistant Attorney General

DOUGLAS N. LETTER  
Terrorism Litigation Counsel

JOSEPH H. HUNT  
Director, Federal Programs Branch

s/ Anthony J. Coppolino  
ANTHONY J. COPPOLINO  
Special Litigation Counsel  
[tony.coppolino@usdoj.gov](mailto:tony.coppolino@usdoj.gov)

RENÉE S. ORLEANS  
ANDREW H. TANNENBAUM  
ALEXANDER K. HAAS  
Trial Attorneys  
U.S. Department of Justice  
Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch  
20 Massachusetts Avenue, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20001  
Phone: (202) 514-4782  
Fax: (202) 616-8470

*Attorneys for Federal Defendants in their Official  
Capacities and Federal Intervenor-Defendants (United  
States of America, National Security Agency, President  
George W. Bush)*

DATED: October 6, 2006

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**EXHIBIT "A"**

JUDICIAL PANEL ON  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

SEP 28 2006

FILED  
CLERK'S OFFICE**DOCKET NO. 1791****BEFORE THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION****IN RE NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY TELECOMMUNICATIONS  
RECORDS LITIGATION****(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)****CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-3)**


On August 9, 2006, the Panel transferred 15 civil actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* \_\_\_ F.Supp.2d \_\_\_ (J.P.M.L. 2006). Since that time, 16 additional actions have been transferred to the Northern District of California. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Vaughn R. Walker.

It appears that the actions on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of California and assigned to Judge Walker.

Pursuant to Rule 7.4 of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001), these actions are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of California for the reasons stated in the order of August 9, 2006, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Vaughn R. Walker.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 15 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 15-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

FOR THE PANEL:



Jeffery N. Lüthi  
Clerk of the Panel

**SCHEDULE CTO-3 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS**  
**DOCKET NO. 1791**  
**IN RE NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY TELECOMMUNICATIONS RECORDS**  
**LITIGATION**

**DIST. DIV. C.A.#****CASE CAPTION**

## CONNECTICUT

CT 3 06-1405

United States of America v. Anthony J. Palermino, et al.

## MAINE

ME 1 06-97

United States of America v. Kurt Adams, et al.

## MISSOURI EASTERN

MOE 4 06-1132

United States of America v. Steve Gaw, et al.

## MISSOURI WESTERN

MOW 2 06-4177

Robert Clayton, et al. v. AT&amp;T Communications of the Southwest, Inc., et al.

## NEW JERSEY

NJ 3 06-2683

United States of America v. Zulima V. Farber, et al.



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing **RESPONSE OF THE UNITED STATES TO  
HEPTING PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR SCHEDULING ORDER**  
(**re: Hepting, 06-CV-676**), will be served by means of the Court's CM/ECF system, which will  
send notifications to ECF registered counsel, and I hereby certify that the foregoing response of  
the United States will be served by first class mail on the non-ECF participants listed on the  
attached pages.

s/ Anthony J. Coppolino

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1	Darrell Lee Barger Hartline acus et al. 800 N. Shoreline Blvd. Suite 2000 N Corpus Christi, TX	Nancy Scott Degan Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC 2001 St. Charles, Suite 3600 New Orleans, LA 70170
4	Marc Oliver Beem Miller Shakman & Hamilton, LLP 180 North LaSalle Street Suite 3600 Chicago, IL 60601	Val Patrick Exnicios Liska Exnicios & Nungesser One Canal Place 365 Canal Street New Orleans, LA 70130
7	John Beisner O'Melveny & Myers LLP 555 13 <sup>th</sup> Street, N.W. Suite 500 West Washington, DC 20004-1109	Tyrone C. Fahner Mayer, Brown, Rowe & Maw LLP 71 South Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606
10	Steven K. Blackhurst Ater Wynne Hewitt Dodson & Skerritt 222 S.W. Columbia Ste. 1800 Portland, OR 97201-6618	Daniel Martin Feeney Miller Shakman & Beem LLP 180 North LaSalle Street, Suite 3600 Chicago, IL 60606
13	James M. Carlson Ungaretti & Harris LLP 3500 Three First National Plaza Chicago, IL 60602	Sheila Marie Finnegan Mayer, Brown, Rowe & Maw LLP 71 South Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606
15	David R. Carpenter Sidley Austin LLP One South Dearborn Street Chicago, IL 60603	Jodi W. Flowers Motley Rice, LLC 28 Bridgeside Boulevard P.O. Box 1792 Mount Pleasant, SC 29465
18	Edward Morgan Carstarphen, III Ellis Carstarphen, et all 5847 San Felipe Suite 1900 Houston, TX 77057	Amy Collins Fontenot Liska, Exnicios & Nungesser One Canal Place 365 Canal Street Suite 2290 New Orleans, LA 70130
22	Catherin J. Casey DLA Piper Rudnick Gary Cary US LLP 203 North LASalle Street, #1900 Chicago, IL 60601	Zachary J. Freeman Miller Shakman & Beem LLP 180 N. La Salle Street, Suite 3600 Chicago, IL 60601
24	Amato A. Deluca Deluca & Weizenbaum, Ltd. 199 North Main Street Providence, RI 02903	Susan A. Friewald USF School of Law 2130 Fulton Street San Francisco, CA 94117
26		
27		
28		

1	Daniel N. Gall c/o Luna Innovations	F. Thomas Hecht Ungaretti & Harris LLP
2	2851 Commerce Street Blacksburg, VA 24060	3500 Three First National Plaza Chicago, IL 60602
3		
4	Anthony D. Irpino Irpino Law Firm	Joseph G. Jevic, III St. Martin & Williams
5	365 Canal Street 22 <sup>nd</sup> Floor	4084 Highway 311 P.O. Box 2017
6	New Orleans, LA 70130	Houma, LA 70361-2017
7	Philip J. John, Jr. Baker & Botts	C. J. Johnson Kalkstein Law Firm
8	One Shell Plaza 910 Louisiana Street	P.O. Box 8568 Missoula, MT 59807
9	Houston, TX 77002	
10	Joshua Karsh Gessler Hughes Piers Resnick & Dym, Ltd.	Peter D. Keisler United States Department of Justice
11	Three First National Plaza 70 West Madison Street, Suite 4000	Assistant Attorney General 20 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W., Rm. 7312
12	Chicago, IL 60602	Washington, D.C. 20530
13	Jonathan D. King DLA Piper Rudnick Gray Cary US LLP	Joseph R. Knight Baker Botts LLP
14	203 North La Salle Street, #1900 Chicago, IL 60601-1293	98 San Jacinto Blvd. Suite 1500 Austin, TX 78701-4039
15	Leondra Kruger Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale & Dorr LLP	Melanie G. Lagarde St. Martin & Williams
16	1875 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006-3642	4084 Highway 311 Houma, LA 70360
17		
18	David L. Lawson Sidley Austin Brown & Wood	Roger L. Mandel Stanley Mandel & Iola LLP
19	172 Eye Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006	3100 Monticello Avenue, Suite 750 Dallas, TX 75205
20	Howard A. Merten Partridge, Snow & Hahn LLP	Randolph D. Moss Wilmer, Cutler, Pickering, Hale & Dorr LLP
21	180 South Main Street Providence, RI 02903	1875 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006
22		
23	Michael C. O'Malley Siben & Siben, LLP	Michele L. Odorizzi Mayer Brown Rowe & Maw
24	90 East Main Street BayShore, NY 11706	190 South LaSalle Street Chicago, IL 60603
25	Wendy Sangbee Park Rober Baldwin Foundation of ACLU, Inc.	Robert J. Patterson Watts Law Firm LLP
26	180 North Michigan Avenue, Suite 2300 Chicago, IL 60601	555 N. Carancahua Street Tower II Bldg. 14 <sup>th</sup> Floor Corpus Christi, TX 78401
27		
28		

1		Paul Lee Peyronnin
2	Adam D. Schwartz Inc.	Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell &
3	180 North Michigan Avenue	Berkowitz, PC
4	Suite 2300	201 St. Charles Ave.
5	Chicago, IL 60601-7401	Suite 3600
6		New Orleans, LA 70170
7	Christopher A. Slater	Michael James Philippi
8	Slater & Ross	Ungaretti & Harris LLP
9	1 S.W. Columbia Street	3500 three First National Plaza
10	Suite 1850	Chicago, IL 60602
11	Portland, OR 97258	
12	Michael A. St. Pierre	Jason R. Ritchie
13	Revens Revens & St. Pierre	Holland & Hart
14	946 Centerville Road	P.O. Box 639
15	Warwick, RI 02886	Billings, MT 59103
16	Marc Ver Der Hout	Harry Rosenberg
17	Ver Der Hout & Brigagliano	Phelps Dunbar, LLP
18	180 Sutter Street, 5 <sup>th</sup> Floor	Canal Place
19	San Francisco, CA 94123	365 Canal Street
20		Suite 2000
21		New Orleans, LA 70130-6534
22	Nicholas Wagner	Michael J. Ross
23	Law Offices of Wagner & Jones	Slater Ross
24	1111 East Herndon, Suite 317	1850 Benjamin Franklin Plaza
25	Fresno, CA 93720	1 S.W. Columbia Street
26		Portland, OR 97258
27	Thomas P. Walsh	William A. Rossbach
28	United States Attorney's Office NDIL	Rossbach & Whiston
29	219 South Dearborn Street	401 North Washington Street
30	Suite 500	P.O. Box 8988
31	Chicago, IL 60604	Missoula, MT 59807-8988
32	Peter Wasylyk	Alan Norris Salpeter
33	Law Offices of Peter Wasylyk	Mayer, Brown, Rowe & Maw
34	1307 Chalkstone Avenue	190 South LaSalle Street
35	Providence, RI 02908	Chicago, IL 60603
36	Mikal C. Watts	Mark Schlosberg
37	Watts Law Firm, LLP	American Civil Liberties Union Fndt.
38	Bank of America Plaza	1663 Mission Street, Suite 460
39	300 Convent Street	San Francisco, CA 94103
40	Suite 100	
41	San Antonio, TX 78205	
42	Conrad S.P. Williams, III	Eric Schneider
43	St. Martin, Williams & Bourque	1730 South Federal Hwy. #104
44	P.O. Box 2017	Delray Beach, FL 33483
45	Houma, LA 70361	
46		

1 Martin Woodward  
Stanley, Mandel & Iola, LLP  
2 3100 Monticello Avenue  
Suite 750  
3 Dallas, TX 75205

Matthew A. Woolf  
Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell &  
Berkowitz, PC  
201 St. Charles Ave.  
Suite 3600  
New Orleans, LA 70170

4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28