

1 David L. Sobel (*pro hac vice*)  
*sobel@eff.org*  
2 ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION  
1875 Connecticut Ave. NW  
3 Suite 650  
Washington, DC 20009  
4 Telephone: (202) 797-9009 x104  
Facsimile: (202) 707-9066  
5  
Kurt Opsahl (191303)  
6 *kurt@eff.org*  
Marcia Hofmann (250087)  
7 *marcia@eff.org*  
ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION  
8 454 Shotwell Street  
San Francisco, CA 94110  
9 Telephone: (415) 436-9333  
Facsimile: (415) 436-9993  
10  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
11 ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION

12  
13 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
14 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
15 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

16 ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION,	)	NO. 07-CV-05278-SI
	)	
17 Plaintiff,	)	<b>SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF</b>
	)	<b>MARCIA HOFMANN IN SUPPORT OF</b>
18 v.	)	<b>MOTION FOR AWARD OF</b>
	)	<b>ATTORNEY’S FEES AND COSTS</b>
19 OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL	)	
20 INTELLIGENCE,	)	
	)	Date: May 23, 2008
21 Defendant.	)	Time: 9:00 a.m.
	)	Courtroom: 10, 19th Floor
	)	
	)	
	)	

24 1. I am an attorney of record for the plaintiff in this matter and a member in good  
25 standing of the California State Bar, and am admitted to practice before this Court. I have personal  
26 knowledge of the matters stated in this declaration. If called upon to do so, I am competent to  
27 testify to all matters set forth herein.  
28

1           2.       I am a Staff Attorney at Plaintiff Electronic Frontier Foundation (“EFF”), which is a  
2 nonprofit corporation established under the laws of California with its principal place of business in  
3 San Francisco.

4           3.       This case is the first action I have litigated in the United States District Court for the  
5 Northern District of California concerning the expedited processing provision of the Freedom of  
6 Information Act (“FOIA”).

7           4.       I have sought preliminary injunctive relief in past FOIA lawsuits in the United  
8 States District Court for the District of Columbia. However, to prepare the motion for a  
9 preliminary injunction in this case, I needed to perform legal research and revise prior arguments to  
10 incorporate the preliminary injunction and FOIA case precedent specific to the Ninth Circuit.

11           5.       I also became familiar with the local rules and procedures of this Court to ensure  
12 that the filings in this case conformed to those requirements.

13           6.       After a careful review of our files on the case, I estimate the minimum number of  
14 hours I spent on the reply and accompanying papers as follows:

<u>Date</u>	<u>Task</u>	<u>Hours</u>
5/2/08	Reviewed and analyzed ODNI opposition to fee motion	0.6
5/5/08	Researched case law on public benefit, commercial benefit, and private interest factors of entitlement test	3.3
	Conferred with co-counsel concerning possible declarants for reasonableness of rates	0.2
5/6/08	Researched case law on the reasonable basis factor of the entitlement test, prevailing market rates, and reasonableness of fees	1.2
	Consulted with Thomas H. Steele about his rates	0.2
	Began drafting entitlement and reasonableness arguments for the reply	2.6
5/7/08	Continued drafting reply	4.7
	Researched case law on entitlement and reasonableness of fees	0.7

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

5/8/08	Revised reply	3.5
	Conferred with co-counsel concerning draft of reply	0.2
	Reviewed edits to reply from colleagues	0.3
	Drafted supplemental declaration	0.6
	Reviewed other attorneys' declarations	0.4
5/9/08	Finalized reply and declarations; filed reply	3.0
=====		=====
Total reply hours:		21.5

7. As ODNI noted in its opposition to EFF's motion for an award of fees and costs, I made a mathematical miscalculation when determining the hours I worked on this matter in 2007. Rather than spending 54.25 hours on the relevant aspects of this litigation in 2007, I actually performed 66.0 hours of work. In total, I have spent no fewer than 105.85 hours on this litigation, with 66.0 hours spent in 2007 when my hourly rate was \$350 per hour (\$23,100.00) and 39.75 hours spent in 2008 when my hourly rate was \$450 per hour (\$17,887.50). At my hourly rates during the relevant timeframe, my total fees are \$40,987.50.

8. David L. Sobel, Jennifer Stisa Granick, Kurt Opsahl and I have expended a reasonable number of hours to initiate this action, secure a favorable order on the motion for preliminary injunction, and request attorney's fees. The total amount of attorney's fees EFF seeks to recover is \$68,205.00.

9. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of the following news article: Michael Isikoff and Michael Hosenball, *Just Between Us*, NEWSWEEK, Apr. 30, 2008,

10. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of an April 4, 2008 order issued in *Electronic Frontier Foundation v. Office of the Director of National Intelligence et al.*, No. 08-1023 JSW (N.D. Cal. Filed Feb. 20, 2008).

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Executed May 9, 2008 in San Francisco, California.

/s/ Marcia Hofmann  
Marcia Hofmann