CASE NO. 2:10-CV-01356-RLH (RJJ)

 I, David Allen, Defendant in the above-captioned action, am the principal of Democratic Underground, LLC. I have knowledge of the facts set forth herein, and would testify to the same if called upon to do so.

Democratic Underground

- Democratic Underground maintains a website at www.democraticunderground.com (the "DU Website").
- 3. The DU Website is devoted to disseminating and discussing political news and progressive politics. Democratic Underground was founded on Inauguration Day, January 20, 2001, has become one of the premier left-wing websites on the Internet, hosting one of the Web's most active left-wing discussion boards. The purpose of the discussion board is to provide a forum for commentary and criticism about political issues.
- 4. In addition to myself, two other individuals work for Democratic Underground.
- 5. The DU Website consists primarily of user-generated content in the form of posting by readers in one of various discussion forums. The DU Website currently has more than 165,000 registered users who have, since its founding in 2001, posted more than 52 million posts to discussion threads addressing items of political and public interest.
- 6. The DU Website is supported, in part, by advertising revenue generated by display of advertising on the site.
- 7. Democratic Underground does not pre-screen posts made by contributors to the DU Website. Once a contributor writes a post, the post gets added through an automated process into a database on the server that hosts the DU Website. Democratic Underground hosts the posted material at the direction of its users in order to make that material available to other users upon those users' request. The materials in posts are of a type and kind selected by the poster and at a time initiated by the poster. Users who wish to post material may do so without

- intervention of Democratic Underground.
- 8. When a reader seeks to access the web address of a particular post or forum on the DU Website (such as by clicking a link to that location on a browser), a request is automatically sent to the server. Its software will then automatically retrieve the contents of that post from the database and send them to the reader through the Internet. Users who wish to access a post may do so without intervention of Democratic Underground.
- 9. Neither I nor the other two employees reads every post made by users at the DU Website; in fact, such a task would be impossible. This is because approximately 14,000 new messages are posted to the DU Website everyday.
- 10. Democratic Underground does not pay posters or offer any financial incentive for adding content to the DU Website.
- 11. The DU Website maintains a copyright policy, a true and accurate copy of which is attached as Exhibit A and which can be accessed at www.democraticunderground.com/forums/rules detailed.html.
- 12. Among other things, that policy advises users to post only short excerpts of stories and articles and to provide a link to the original article when posting about a news article. For example, on the forum for "Latest Breaking News" (where the post in question in this case was located), contributors must identify the source and provide a link to the news article they post about in the form they fill out to make the post.
- 13. Democratic Underground encourages readers to notify moderators if a post contains an entire article by clicking on an "Alert" link that is included on every post. The moderator will then edit the post to include only a short excerpt or delete the post.

The "Pampango" Post

14. On May 13, 2010, a Democratic Underground user with the username of

- "Pampango" posted a portion of an article from the *Las Vegas Review-Journal* entitled "U.S. Senate Race: Tea Party Power Fuels Angle." A copy of that post was attached as Exhibit 3 the Complaint in this case.
- 15. Pampango is neither an agent nor employee of mine or Democratic Underground's.
- 16. In the 92 days it was posted and available at the DU Website, Pampango's post garnered approximately 565 views, less than 6.5 per day, and less than one-thousandth of one-percent (0.001%) of the traffic to the DU Website.
- 17. On a typical day, the DU Website as a whole serves roughly 700,000 page views to 90,000 unique visitors.
- 18. During its time on the DU Website, Pampango's post appeared on a page that, like the rest of the DU Website, contained advertising. However, none of that advertising was sold for display with or targeted by Democratic Underground to go with Pampango's post. Rather, it was simply the same advertising system displayed generally throughout the DU Website.
- 19. On average, for a page like the one on which Pampango's post appeared, the DU Website earns a CPM (cost per 1,000 page views) of about \$2.00. That means for every 1,000 times a DU Website page is viewed containing advertising, Democratic Underground makes about \$2.00.
- 20. During the 92 days Pampango's post was available, the page on which Pampango's post appeared had an average CPM of \$2.32, which means Democratic Underground would have received revenue connected with that page of no more than \$1.31 for the 565 views.

This Lawsuit

- 21. Before this lawsuit, I had never read Pampango's post.
- 22. Before this lawsuit, neither the Las Vegas Review-Journal nor Righthaven ever

- notified me or—to my knowledge—anyone else at Democratic Underground about Pampango's post and as such I did not know they objected to the post or that they alleged it was infringing.
- 23. The first time I learned of this claim of infringement was when a reporter from the *Las Vegas Sun* called me, on August 11, 2010. At that point, I had not been served with the Complaint.
- 24. Pampagno's post was removed as a precautionary measure from the DU Website on August 13, 2010.
- 25. In the normal course of business, posts are not removed from the DU Website unless a complaint is lodged against a post or a post violates DU Website rules. In this instance, Democratic Underground has preserved and intends to restore the Pampango post after the completion of this litigation, if the Court rules that it is a fair use.
- 26. Once I did learn of this lawsuit, I paid an attorney to defend me personally and my business, Democratic Underground. I spent approximately \$3,600 for this service.
- 27. In later August I got in touch with the Electronic Frontier Foundation, a non-profit legal service organization, which agreed to represent me on a *pro bono* basis.

 Subsequently I was also represented by the law firms of Winston & Strawn LLP and Fenwick & West LLP.
- 28. I understand that Righthaven now would like to dismiss its suit against me and Democratic Underground. However, because our contributors make posts to Democratic Underground and because we do not review all the posts made to the DU Website (and does not have plans to start doing that in the future), I fear that I (and Democratic Underground) could be sued again for alleged infringement, including suits for posts of which I do not even have prior knowledge.
- 29. In fact, other users have posted other excerpts from the *Las Vegas Review*

Journal at the DU website, potentially exposing me and Democratic Underground to risk of having to litigate this matter over and over. One example of such a post, which includes an excerpt, a link to the LVRJ, and a 30-comment discussion is found at www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.php?

az=view_all&address=102x2658036, a true and accurate copy of which is attached as Exhibit B.

30. In at least one instance, a user removed his own post for fear of being sued and replaced an excerpt of an article from the *Las Vegas Review-Journal* with one from the *Las Vegas Sun*, a newspaper that, to my knowledge, has not sued its readers. When one DU Website reader commented that "You've linked to the site and used their subject line. I don't think that's a good idea," and a second commented that the *Sun* had put up its story about the same topic, the original poster stated: "I am going to replace the source now with a far better one," and replaced the *LVRT* excerpt with a *Sun* excerpt. The discussion on the DU Website reflecting this action can be found at www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.php?

az=view_all&address=102x4612768, and a true and accurate copy is attached as Exhibit C.

I declare the foregoing under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States and that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 7th day of December, 2010, in Chevy Chase, Maryland.

DAVID ALLEN

EXHIBIT A













Home » Discuss » Help » Rules » Enforcement

DONATE



How We Enforce The Discussion Forum Rules

This is a detailed explanation of how we enforce the basic message board rules.

Last updated February 1, 2006.

Who We Are

Who is Welcome on Democratic Underground, and Who is Not

Democratic Underground is an online community for Democrats and other progressives. Members are expected to be generally supportive of progressive ideals, and to support Democratic candidates for political office.

We ban conservative disruptors who are opposed to the broad goals of this website. If you think overall that George W. Bush is doing a swell job, or if you wish to see Republicans win, or if you are generally supportive of conservative ideals, please do not register to post, as you will likely be banned.

People who repeatedly and willfully break the rules, or who generally engage in rude, anti-social behavior, will be banned. It doesn't matter if you are a fellow progressive, a long-term member of this community, or a donor.

If you have been banned from Democratic Underground, you are not permitted to log on again using a different username. Previously banned members will be immediately banned, regardless of behavior.

Relationship to the Democratic Party

Democratic Underground is a completely independent organization. Democratic Underground is not affiliated with the Democratic Party in any way, and comments posted here are not representative of the Democratic Party or its candidates.

Civility

Ads by BlogAds



Jon Stewart Presents "The Gretch Who Saved The War On Christmas"

Texas Republicans plot ouster of Jewish Republican House Speaker, want "true Christian leader" to take <u>over</u>

Pearl Harbor: A Successful War Lie


WikiLeaks 'will continue releasing documents'

Elizabeth

Assange Arrested in London

Elizabeth Edwards Is Dying, Hates the Baby (Baby Jesus)

Read more »



How can we prevent the next oil spill? Like Drowning in Oil on

Personal Attacks, Civility and Respect

The administrators of Democratic Underground are working to provide a place where progressives can share ideas and debate in an atmosphere of mutual respect. Despite our best efforts, some of our members often stray from this ideal and cheapen the quality of discourse for everyone else. Unfortunately, it is simply impossible to write a comprehensive set of rules forbidding every type of antisocial behavior. The fact that the rules do not forbid a certain type of post does not automatically make an uncivil post appropriate, nor does it imply that the administrators approve of disrespectful behavior. Every member of this community has a responsibility to participate in a respectful manner, and to help foster an atmosphere of thoughtful discussion. In this regard, we strongly advise that our members exercise a little common decency, rather than trying to parse the message board rules to figure out what type of antisocial behavior is not forbidden.

Do not post personal attacks or engage in name-calling against other individual members of this discussion board. Even very mild personal attacks are forbidden.

Do not hurl insults at other individual members of this message board. Do not tell someone, "shut up," "screw you," "fuck off," "in your face," or some other insult.

Do not call another member of this message board a liar, and do not call another member's post a lie. You are, of course, permitted to point out when a post is untrue or factually incorrect.

Do not publicly accuse another member of this message board of being a disruptor, conservative, Republican, FReeper, or troll, or do not otherwise imply they are not welcome on Democratic Underground. If you think someone is a disruptor, click the "Alert" link below their post to let the moderators know.

Do not draw negative attention to the fact that someone is new, has a low post count, or recently became a member of Democratic Underground. Do not insinuate that because someone is new, they are a troll or disruptor.

Do not accuse entire groups of people on Democratic Underground of being conservative disruptors, or post messages which spread this type of suspicion. Do not post topics that arouse suspicion against new members, or members with low post counts.

Do not say that you are hitting the alert link to report

<u>Facebook</u> for exclusive <u>excerpts</u>, <u>video</u>, and opinion about BP and the Deepwater Horizon disaster, from the hard hitting book by *The Houston Chronicle's* Loren Steffy. Buy *Drowning in Oil* today at <u>Amazon</u>, <u>B&N</u>, and <u>Borders</u>.

Read more »

Buy a BlogAd

Paid Classified Ads - only \$50/week!

Buy a Classified Ad - only \$50/week!



Advertise on more than 70 progressive blogs!

another member. You are permitted to tell someone that you are adding them to your ignore list, provided that you actually do so.

Do not "stalk" another member from one discussion thread to another. Do not follow someone into another thread to try to continue a disagreement you had elsewhere. Do not talk negatively about an individual in a thread where they are not participating. Do not post messages with the purpose of "calling out" another member or picking a fight with another member. Do not use your signature line to draw negative attention to another member of the board.

You are permitted to post polite behavioral corrections to other members of the message board, in direct response to specific instances of incivility, provided that your comments are narrowly focused on the behavior. But you are not permitted to make broad statements about another person's behavior in general, and you are not permitted to post repeated reminders about another person's mistakes.

You are permitted to criticize public figures, who are not protected under our rules against personal attacks. However, if a public figure is a member of our community, that person is protected by our rules and you are not permitted to personally attack that person. (You are permitted to offer constructive criticism of their activities as a public figure.)

If you do not like someone, please be aware that you have the option of putting that person on your ignore list. Just click the ignore icon an one of their posts.

There are no exceptions to these civility rules. You cannot attack someone because they attacked you first, or because that person "deserved it," or because you think someone is a disruptor. We consider it a personal attack to call a liar a liar, to call a moron a moron, or to call a jerk a jerk.

Content

Disruption and Inappropriate postings

Do not post messages that advocate harm or death to anyone, threaten the livelihood of anyone, or otherwise harass anyone. Do not send email, snail mail, phone, fax, or other messages to anyone if your intent is to threaten or harass, and do not encourage others to do the same. Do not take your disagreements offline, and do not do anything to try to harm or harass any member

of this message board offline.

Do not post personal information about any other person, even if that information is publicly available. Do not "dig up" information about any other visitor to this website. You may post the public contact information for public figures, but you may not post anyone's private information without their consent.

Do not use our message board for personal fundraising, for-profit advertising, or selling products or services, except in the specially-designated "DU Marketplace" forum. We will occasionally make an exception in cases where the product or service contributes to the Democratic or progressive cause, provided that you ask an administrator for permission before you post.

Do not post messages that are entirely in capital letters, unless you are trying to indicate yelling or intense emotion.

You are permitted to discuss political or social issues relating to human sexuality, provided that you exercise the appropriate level of maturity, sensitivity, and discretion. Topics about sex are not permitted in the DU Lounge, although we may occasionally make an exception for articles posted from mainstream news sources.

Do not post messages about bodily functions.

Do not post graphic images of violence, gore, pain, or human suffering. If you have a legitimate political purpose for posting graphic images, you may do so provided that you include a clear warning in the subject line of your post.

Do not post messages asking for medical advice.

Stay on topic. Do not jump into an unrelated discussion and introduce a barely-relevant tangent.

Bigotry and Broad-Brush Smears

When discussing race, gender, sexual orientation, ethnicity, religion, or other highly-sensitive personal issues, please exercise the appropriate level of sensitivity toward others and take extra care to clearly express your point of view.

Do not post messages that are bigoted against (or grossly insensitive toward) any person or group of people based on their race, gender, sexual orientation,

ethnicity, religion, lack of religion, disability, physical characteristics, or region of residence.

While specific words are not automatically forbidden, members should avoid using racist, sexist, homophobic, or otherwise bigoted terminology. This includes gender-specific terms such as "cunt," "whore," "slut," "skank," or "pussy," and terms with homophobic derivation, such as "cocksucker," which are often inflammatory and inappropriate. A common exception that is permitted is the use of words like "whore" or "prostitute" in cases where public figures or the media do favors. (For example: "Fox News is whoring for the GOP again" or "Tom DeLay is a prostitute for corporate interests.")

Special note with regard to the word "bitch": The word "bitch" has been the source of a great deal of controversy on this website, because some of our members consider it to be a bigoted slur against all women, while others do not consider it bigoted. For a while we actively deleted posts which included this word, in an effort to keep the peace. This effort helped to keep discussions on track, but it resulted in many deleted posts that were otherwise perfectly fine as well as many confused people. Futhermore, the moderators themselves were ambivalent about removing the word. For this reason, we no longer automatically remove the word "bitch." However, we strongly urge members to voluntarily avoid using the word if they wish to keep their own discussions from going off-topic, and we reserve the right to take disciplinary action if we think someone is deliberately using the word in an effort to disrupt or cause trouble.

With regard to religion (or the lack thereof), Democratic Underground is a diverse community which includes Christians, Jews, Buddhists, Muslims, Hindus, Atheists, Agnostics, and others. All are welcome here. For this reason, we expect members to make an extra effort to be sensitive to different religious beliefs, and to show respect to members who hold different religious beliefs. Members are welcome to discuss whether they agree or disagree with particular religious beliefs, but they are expected to do so in a relatively sensitive and respectful manner. As a general rule, discussions about ideas are usually permitted, but broad-brush bigoted statements about groups of people — either religious or nonreligious — are not. If you are easily offended by discussions about religious beliefs, or if you take pleasure from offending or ridiculing people with different beliefs, or if you consider progressive people with different beliefs to be your enemy or your inferior, do not participate in religious discussions on Democratic Underground.

Discussion topics relating to religion that have little or no relation to politics or current events must be posted in the Religion/Theology forum. If you are easily offended by discussion relating to religion, you are strongly advised to avoid the Religion/Theology forum. Instead, consider participating in one of our many <u>DU Groups for believers</u> or non-believers.

Please note that sweeping statements about entire groups of fellow progressives are not categorically forbidden (except in the case of race, gender, sexual orientation, ethnicity, religion, lack of religion, disability, physical characteristics, or region of residence, as mentioned above). However, they are often inflammatory and counterproductive and the moderators have broad discretion to remove such posts in the interests of keeping the peace on the message board.

Have a thick skin. Please be aware that just because you consider a post to be offensive does not mean that it is against the rules or bigoted. As a general rule of thumb, posts about ideas are generally okay, but posts about groups of people are often inappropriate.

Duplicate Topics and Spamming

Do not post duplicate topics that have already been posted. There are different levels of enforcement in different forums. For example, the Latest Breaking News forum has extremely strict rules against duplicate topics, but the General Discussion forum is much more lenient. Cross posting of duplicates in the two General Discussion forums is not permitted. Cross-posting of duplicates in other forums is occasionally permitted if there is a clear reason for doing so.

Do not spam the message board by posting the same message repeatedly, or by posting a flood of different messages. We have an automatic spam filter which blocks out members who post numerous messages in a short period of time.

Inflammatory or Flame-Bait Discussion Topics

Do not post "flame bait" discussion topics. While there is no clear line regarding what constitutes flame bait, the moderators have the authority to shut down threads which they consider too rhetorically hot, too divisive, too extreme, or too inflammatory. Please use good judgment when starting threads; inflammatory rhetoric does not normally lead to productive discussion.

Do not start a new topic in order to continue a flame war from another discussion thread.

National Security

Do not post messages that could be construed as advocating harm or death to the president or other high-ranking official in the United States government. In the case of the president, do not even post jokes, as the Secret Service is not known for its sense of humor.

Do not post messages that could be construed as advocating armed revolution or violent overthrow of the government of the United States.

Do not post messages that could be construed as advocating violence or military defeat against the United States, the U.S. military, US service people, or the people of the United States.

Do not post broad-brush smears against US service people. Do not blame the troops for the mistakes of their officers or their Commander-in-Chief. Show the appropriate level of respect to those individuals who have put their own lives on the line to defend this country.

Do not post unpublished information on troop movements, military maneuvers, or any other information which may compromise US national security.

Democratic Candidates and the Democratic Party

Constructive criticism of Democrats or the Democratic Party is permitted. When doing so, please keep in mind that most of our members come to this website in order to get a break from the constant attacks in the media against our candidates and our values. Highly inflammatory or divisive attacks that echo the tone or substance of our <u>political opponents</u> are not welcome here.

You are not permitted to use this message board to work for the defeat of the Democratic Party nominee for any political office. If you wish to work for the defeat of any Democratic candidate in any General Election, then you are welcome to use someone else's bandwidth on some other website.

Democratic Underground may not be used for political, partisan, or advocacy activity by supporters of any political party or candidate other than the Democratic Party or Democratic candidates. Supporters of certain other political parties may use Democratic Underground for limited partisan activities in political races where there is no Democratic Party candidate.

Do not post broad-brush smears against Democrats or the Democratic Party.

Restrictions on Linking to Other Websites

Do not post messages that give publicity to websites that have little purpose other than to smear, disrupt, or complain about Democratic Underground. Currently there are only three websites that fall into this category. Their addresses are not listed here because we do not wish to give them publicity. They are easily identified by their bizarre obsession with Democratic Underground.

Do not quote or link to bigoted websites, or websites that republish content from bigoted websites. While many of these websites are easily identifiable, some are less obvious at first glance. Please be aware that even some anti-Bush websites also include bigoted content and are therefore not welcome here.

Do not quote or link to "conspiracy theory" websites, except in our September 11 forum, which is the only forum on Democratic Underground where we permit members to debate highly speculative conspiracy theories. A reasonable person should be able to identify a conspiracy theory website without much difficulty.

Members are permitted to link to highly partisan conservative websites, provided that they are doing so in the proper context.

If you would like to know if a particular website is restricted, please contact an administrator.

Where to post various discussion topics.

Democratic Underground has a large number of forums on a number of different topics. We encourage you to take time to familiarize yourself with all of the different forums so you have a better idea of where to post various topics. We also encourage you to take advantage of the "My Forums" feature so you can easily keep track of the forums that interest you.

We have very strict <u>rules</u> for starting a discussion thread in the Latest Breaking News forum. Those rules are displayed when you click "post." Please follow those rules, or your thread will be shut down.

The General Discussion forum is for discussion of a wide range of topics that are relevant to politics, public policy, and current events. Topics with little or no political relevance are not permitted in the General Discussion forum.

Due to continuing problems, discussion of Israeli/Palestinian issues is limited to the Israel/Palestine forum, and is governed by a special set of <u>rules</u> which are available in that forum. If a discussion is primarily about US policy in Israeli/Palestinian affairs, it is sometimes allowed in other forums. Discussion of other Middle East issues is also sometimes allowed. If a thread is on a different topic, but later goes off-topic and becomes a discussion of Israeli/Palestinian issues, the moderators may move the thread to the Israel/Palestine forum.

Discussions about politics and public policy that relate to religion are permitted in the political forums, including the General Discussion forum. However, discussions that are primarily focused on theological questions, including discussions about the truth or untruth of certain religious beliefs, are not permitted in the General Discussion forum, and must instead be posted in the Religion/Theology forum.

Posts about so-called "conspiracy theories" are not permitted on Democratic Underground, except in the September 11 forum.

Posts that are unrelated to politics or public policy (such as pop culture, entertainment, sports, or celebrity news) belong in the DU Lounge, in the relevant Topic Forums, or in the appropriate DU Groups.

Questions about Democratic Underground policies should be <u>emailed to the administrators</u>. Discussion topics pertaining to specific enforcement actions by the moderators are categorically forbidden. Discussion topics relating to Democratic Underground policies, procedures, enforcement, etc., are sometimes permitted if they are respectful and not disruptive to the administration of the website. We reserve the right to lock or delete any such topics for any reason.

Special Rules for DU Groups

Donating members have the ability to post in special forums called "DU Groups." All of the DU Groups are in the same folder, and they are designated by a special icon. DU Groups are a special type of forum, organized by DU members, and dedicated to a particular mission. The mission statement of each DU Group is pinned to the top of that group's forum listing. Individuals who participate in a particular DU Group must do so within the limits set by that Group's mission statement. Those who fail to abide by the mission statement, or whose presence is disruptive to the other members of that Group, will be barred from posting in that Group.

Unfortunately, in the past some members have used the protected "echo-chamber" available in the DU Groups in ways that increased feelings of victimization among members of that Group, or increased hostility toward DU members who are not participants in that Group. This has been a particular problem in Groups devoted to specific Democratic candidates, and in Groups for believers or non-believers. Because of this misuse of the DU Groups, we have been forced to institute a few additional rules to keep discussions productive.

Do not use the DU Groups to whip up feelings of victimization or to complain about perceived mistreatment by the administrators, moderators, or members of Democratic Underground.

Do not use the DU Groups to discuss members of Democratic Underground who you do not like, or whom you believe to be disruptors.

Do not use the DU Groups to "rally the troops" to go participate in discussion threads elsewhere on our website, or to likewise encourage members to vote in polls or recommend threads or alert on posts.

Additional information about DU Groups is posted in the DU Groups folder.

Posting Restrictions on New Members

New members are restricted from starting new discussion topics. We require you to first post responses to a few existing discussion threads before you are given the privilege to do so. We wish we did not have to enforce this rule, but we have found it very helpful to keep disruptors off of our message board. Please do not email us to ask what the minimum number is; we won't tell you, and it's not very high.

New members are similarly restricted from using the private messaging function or recommending topics for the Greatest Page.

Your username, signature line, and avatar image

All registered members must select a forum username which will appear with all of their posts. Members are expected to take care when selecting their usernames, which cannot be changed once they have been selected. Do not select a username that could be considered inappropriate or disruptive to the community.

Members are not permitted to have more than one account on Democratic Underground.

Members have the option of including a short signature line at the end of each post, and donors have the option of uploading a small avatar image which appears in the margin next to each post.

Your signature line and your avatar image must adhere to the community standards for this message board. Do not use your signature line or avatar image as a means to disrupt the message board. If either your signature line or avatar image is judged to be disruptive, you will be asked to remove it or change it. The administrators have the final authority to decide what is considered disruptive.

Your signature line may not exceed 400 characters. This 400-character limit includes all characters, including spaces and URLs for links and images. You may have no more than one image (.jpg or .gif format) in your signature line at any time. This image may not exceed 500 pixels wide, 200 pixels tall, or a file size of 20 kilobytes. If you do not know if your image is in compliance, right click on it and select "Properties." You may not use any HTML code in your signature line except the special message board code for: bold, italics, links, center, and line breaks. You are limited to a maximum of two line break [br] tags.

Your avatar image should be in either .jpg or .gif format, and should be no more than 3 kilobytes. We recommend that you crop your avatar to exactly 48 pixels wide and 48 pixels tall.

Copyright

Copyright issues and Bandwidth Theft

Do not post entire copyrighted articles. If you wish to reference an article, provide a brief excerpt and include a link to the original source. Generally, excerpts should not exceed three or four paragraphs.

Do not plagiarize.

Do not steal someone else's bandwidth by posting images that are hosted on another website. Democratic Underground is a high-traffic website, so posting images from other sites will cause their server load to increase dramatically, and might even cost the website owner money. If you wish to post an image from another website, you must contact the owner of the other website to get their consent.

Democratic Underground has the ability to block remote

linking to images hosted on other websites. If you are a website administrator and you would like images from your website blocked, please <u>send us an email</u> to let us know.

Do not link or share copyrighted digital media such as mp3s or DVDs.

Wherever possible, please make an effort to link directly to the original source of an article, instead of linking to sites that have re-published someone else's content.

Forum Administration

General Rules

We reserve the right to ban anyone for any reason, to delete any post for any reason, to shut down any discussion for any reason, or to do whatever we feel is appropriate to run this website.

The rules listed here cover the most common disruptive or inappropriate postings, but this is not a complete list. We reserve the right to remove any post that we consider disruptive or inappropriate, even if that post is not specifically forbidden by our published rules.

All of the rules for posting on the discussion forum also apply to messages sent through our private messaging system, or by any other method of communication on this website.

If you think someone is breaking any of the rules listed here, or if you think someone might be a disruptor, please click the "Alert" link on the offending post so the moderators can deal with it.

The administrators have the final authority on all matters relating to this website.

The Moderators and Administrators

Treat the moderators and administrators with respect.

Do not post messages attacking the moderators or administrators.

Do not publicly accuse the moderators or administrators of bias.

Do not send rude messages to the moderators or administrators, by email, by private message, by use of

the "Alert" function, or by any other means.

Do not publicly post correspondence you receive from moderators and administrators, and do not share it with other members of this message board.

If you have any questions about the rules of this message board, please contact the website administrators by <u>sending an email</u>. The administrators of this website are Skinner, EarlG, and Elad.

Questions about Democratic Underground policies should be <u>emailed to the administrators</u>. Discussion topics pertaining to specific enforcement actions by the moderators are categorically forbidden. Discussion topics relating to Democratic Underground policies, procedures, enforcement, etc., are sometimes permitted if they are respectful and not disruptive to the administration of the website. We reserve the right to lock or delete any such topics for any reason.

Please be aware of the following

Messages posted on our discussion board are the opinions of the individuals who post them, and do not necessarily represent the opinions of Democratic Underground, LLC.

Any information disclosed on our discussion board becomes public information. Anyone who visits our website is able to read any of the messages posted here. Also, many of the messages posted here are indexed by search engines, including Google.

By default, your profile is available to other registered users. You can hide your profile using the features available by clicking on the "Options" icon.

Democratic Underground, LLC, does not have a policy of checking the veracity of messages posted by users of our discussion board. Use discretion when evaluating unsubstantiated claims.

How the moderators do their job

The moderators of Democratic Underground enforce the rules based on consensus. Whenever a moderator takes action, they are required to first get a consensus from the available moderators that action is necessary and appropriate. What constitutes a consensus varies based on the situation. If a rule violation is obvious, then action only requires a second opinion from one other moderator. But if a situation is unclear, highly subjective, or likely to be controversial, then the

opinions of many moderators are required. Whatever the situation, one moderator has the power to veto any enforcement action. All moderator actions are logged by our software, and can be reviewed by the administrators.

All of our moderators are unpaid volunteers. They are selected from the membership of our community, and represent the full ideological spectrum of our membership. They usually serve for terms of three months. During any given term, we usually have a mix of veteran moderators who have served for many months and relatively new moderators who have only recently volunteered. Before each new term, the administrators post an announcement calling for new volunteers. If you wish to volunteer, keep an eye on our announcements section in the forum Lobby.

Your Freedom to Leave

All visitors to the Democratic Underground website are here voluntarily. Nobody is forcing you to post on this message board. The administrators try their best to be fair, and to make Democratic Underground a welcoming place for progressives who like Democratic Underground and who want to be here. If you do not like Democratic Underground, or the members of Democratic Underground, or the way we run Democratic Underground, then we strongly suggest that you exercise your right to leave. If we decide that you do not like this place very much, then we reserve the right to show you the door ourselves.

Home ▶ Discuss ▶ Help ▶ Rules ▶ Enforcement

Powered by DCForum+ Version 1.1 Copyright 1997-2002 DCScripts.com

Home | Discussion Forums | Journals | Articles | Demopedia | Campaigns | Links | Store | Donate

About DU | Write for DU | Privacy Policy | Contact Us

© 2001 - 2006 Democratic Underground, LLC



Click here to donate

Case 2:10-cv-01356-RLH-RJJ Document 48-1 Filed 12/07/10 Page 16 of 16

EXHIBIT B


















Site search

Search

Las Vegas Review-Journal: Democrats leave Bono disappointed: No promise of funds



This topic is archived. First thread | Last thread

Home » Discuss » Latest Breaking News



DeepModem Mom 🕯 (1000+ posts) 🗧 🔄 🖺 🚣 🎄

Tue Dec-19-06 01:33 AM Original message

Ads by Google

Las Vegas Review-Journal: Democrats leave Bono disappointed: No promise of funds



Democrats leave Bono disappointed Anti-poverty activist gets no promise of funds By STEVE TETREAULT and MOLLY BALL **REVIEW-JOURNAL**

Meetings in Washington last Thursday between rock star Bono and Democrats, including Senate leader Harry Reid of Nevada, yielded a nice photo-op but not much else, according to Bono.

Bono, the U2 frontman and anti-poverty activist, was on Capitol Hill to seek assurances that \$1 billion in planned U.S. spending to fight AIDS and malaria in Africa would not be lost if Congress freezes agency budgets in the coming year.

Bono said he also was seeking to close a "commitment gap" between what President Bush has requested for anti-poverty efforts and what Congress has agreed to spend in the past.



After meetings with incoming Senate Majority Leader Reid, House Speaker-to-be Nancy Pelosi, D-Calif., and Democrats on the House Appropriations Committee, Bono said he came away empty-handed.

"I'm alarmed we could not get a commitment from the Democratic leadership to prevent the loss of \$1 billion in the continuing resolution," Bono said Thursday in a statement....

http://www.reviewjournal.com/lvrj_home/2006/Dec-18-Mon-

Printer Friendly | Permalink | | Top

• Ads by Google Campaign End Poverty Campaign Democrats Pants to Pover	<u>Nevada</u>	Harry Reid	
▼ Replies to this thread			
Not really fair	Patchuli	Dec-19-06 01:37 AM	#1
It is not either one or the other	arcos	Dec-19-06 05:41 AM	#10
But the wealthy aren't interested	Patchuli	Dec-19-06 02:28 PM	#21
No, you are not a \$hit	Mad_Dem_X	Dec-19-06 03:01 PM	#23
i Gight On! n/t	Megahurtz	Dec-19-06 05:14 PM	#29
The Democrats don't know what they are facing.	madfloridian	Dec-19-06 01:40 AM	#2
La Yahoo exec, GOP strategist to lead The ONE Campaign's Board	$maddezmom \underline{\mathbb{B}}$	Dec-19-06 01:48 AM	#3
He could ask his buddies in the music business and Hollywood for a billion	jus_the_facts	Dec-19-06 02:38 AM	#4
1 thought that was a typo at firstbut no, it actually IS dems	Psephos	Dec-19-06 03:28 AM	#5
But hey, Bush is a great guy, just ask him. nt	ToeBot	Dec-19-06 03:45 AM	#6
bush would gladly shell out a billion	cyclezealot	Dec-19-06 03:52 AM	#7
So Bono would rather have an empty committment today - like Shrub	BR_Parkway	Dec-19-06 05:04 AM	#8
you can not promise what you can not give.	xchrom	Dec-19-06 05:30 AM	#9
We have a growing rate of hungry people in America, we have	acmavm	Dec-19-06 05:52 AM	#11
The Las Vegas Review is pure shit. I wouldn't use this conservative rag	VegasWolf	Dec-19-06 09:51 AM	#12
Is anyone saying the opposite?	DerBeppo	Dec-19-06 10:48 AM	#16
They gave me a free trial for this paper. After two weeks of Ann Coulter and J.C. Watts	VegasWolf	Dec-19-06 12:07 PM	#18
Better to not make promises which are difficult to keep than to	Freddie Stubbs	Dec-19-06 10:07 AM	#13
Bush is big on promises ask New Orleans	gratuitous	Dec-19-06 10:30 AM	#14

Case 2:10-cv-01356-RLH-RJJ Document 48-2 Filed 12/07/10 Page 3 of 8

Well said! n/t	Mad_Dem_X	Dec-19-06 03:02 PM	#24
Well he could always just go ask his good buddy W for more \$\$\$	RubyDuby in GA	Dec-19-06 10:35 AM	#15
Boo hoo" cries the TAX EXILE (off key)	mitchum	Dec-19-06 12:01 PM	#17
its a comendable cause	judaspriestess	Dec-19-06 01:22 PM	#19
So Bono not only hobnobs with the GOP, but backstabs budget-balancing Democrats.	The Stranger	Dec-19-06 01:52 PM	#20
Guess he feels like he can rely better on his usual mates	Justitia	Dec-19-06 02:53 PM	#22
<u>i</u> <u>C</u> Whatever,	zidzi	Dec-19-06 03:59 PM	#27
Bono thinks "no more earmarks" shouldn't apply to him	Robbien	Dec-19-06 03:03 PM	#25
Hey bono! All our fucking money	zidzi	Dec-19-06 03:57 PM	#26
Hey Bono, maybe if you had the balls to stand up to these neanderthals that emptied the till	truthisfreedom	Dec-19-06 04:32 PM	#28
L Why don't you work on Anti-Poverty in THIS Country,	Megahurtz	Dec-19-06 05:19 PM	#30





Tue Dec-19-06 01:37 AM Response to Original mess

1. Not really fair



to expect them to commit before they see how they can fix America first. I'm all for anti-poverty but in case some folks haven't noticed, there is a lot of people here who suffered through a horrible hurricane and the resulting losses and still haven't been cared for. Am I a \$hit for wanting to help America first?

Printer Friendly | Permalink | | Top



Tue Dec-19-06 05:41 AM Response to Reply #1

10. It is not either one or the other ...



America can more than afford to help its people and to help the world as well.

Printer Friendly | Permalink | | Top

Patchuli 🕏 (1000+ posts) 📮 🔄 🖺 🏖



Tue Dec-19-06 02:28 PM Response to Reply #10

21. But the wealthy aren't interested



in either their poor fellow citizens or the rest of the world. I do think charity starts at home.

Printer Friendly | Permalink | | Top



Tue Dec-19-06 03:01 PM Response to Reply #1

23. No, you are not a \$hit



We need to help this country first. We have hungry and homeless here, especially since BushCo seized power. We have to clean up our own backyard before we can help anyone else.

Printer Friendly | Permalink | | Top



Tue Dec-19-06 05:14 PM Response to Reply #23

29. Right On! n/t



Printer Friendly | Permalink | | Top

madfloridian 🔅 (1000+ posts) 📮 🔄 🗈 🌲 🎄



Tue Dec-19-06 01:40 AM Response to Original mes

2. The Democrats don't know what they are facing.

Our economy is in the toilet, and there may be no easy fixes. They have not been including the Iraq war expenditures in the budget deficit, and who knows what else they may find.

Printer Friendly | Permalink | | Top

Tue Dec-19-06 01:48 AM

Case 2:10-cv-01356-RLH-RJJ Document 48-2 Filed 12/07/10 Page 4 of 8

maddezmom 2 2 (1000+ posts)

Response to Original message

3. Yahoo exec, GOP strategist to lead The ONE Campaign's Board



SAN FRANCISCO The ONE Campaign -- the nonprofit launched by U-2 frontman Bono -- has tapped a prominent Republican strategist and an outgoing Yahoo executive to serve as its board chairmen.

Jack Oliver recently worked as an adviser and strategist for the Bush-Cheney campaign in 2004. And Yahoo Chief Operating Officer Dan Rosensweig will leave the company in March as part of a management shakeup announced earlier

The group says both men bring a wealth of management and strategy experience to the table.

The ONE campaign is an endeavor to fight global poverty and AIDS. The group says it has nearly two-and-a-half (m) million supporters nationwide.

http://www.kesq.com/Global/story.asp?S=5832289&nav=9qrx

Printer Friendly | Permalink | | Top

jus_the_facts 🕯 (1000+ posts) 📮 🔄 🖺 🧸

Tue Dec-19-06 02:38 AM Response to Original me

4. He could ask his buddies in the music business and Hollywood for a billion....



...I doubt it'd take that many o'them to come up with that amount. 👺

Printer Friendly | Permalink | | Top

Psephos (1000+ posts)

Tue Dec-19-06 03:28 AM Response to Original me

5. I thought that was a typo at first...but no, it actually IS dems

Printer Friendly | Permalink | | Top

ToeBot (1000+ posts) 🔄 🛅 🌆 🦺

Tue Dec-19-06 03:45 AM Response to Original me

6. But hey, Bush is a great guy, just ask him. nt

Printer Friendly | Permalink | | Top

cyclezealot (1000+ posts) 🗓 🔄 🛅 🦾

Tue Dec-19-06 03:52 AM

7. bush would gladly shell out a billion



for a chance to have Administration representatives be seen with Bono. bush is all about photo ops.

Printer Friendly | Permalink | | Top

BR_Parkway (1000+ posts)



Tue Dec-19-06 05:04 AM Response to Original message

8. So Bono would rather have an empty committment today - like Shrub



has been giving him rather than letting them get in there and figure out what the hell we've even got left to spend?

Printer Friendly | Permalink | | Top

xchrom 🔅 (1000+ posts) 📮 🔄 🖺 🎄



Tue Dec-19-06 05:30 AM Response to Original message

9. you can not promise what you can not give.



if the dems were unable to come up with the money after promising then that would set the stage for a black eye.

Printer Friendly | Permalink | | Top

Las Vegas Review-Journal: Democrats leave Bono disappointed: No promise of funds - Democratic Undergro... Page 4 of 7

Case 2:10-cv-01356-RLH-RJJ Document 48-2 Filed 12/07/10 Page 5 of 8

acmavm (1000+ posts) 屋 🛅 🚣 🎎

Tue Dec-19-06 05:52 AM Response to Original me

11. We have a growing rate of hungry people in America, we have

record foreclosures and bankruptcies. We have thousands a year losing their jobs and the jobs they find to replace in no way measure up to the one corporate America sent overseas. We have no health care. We have a health care crisis amongst the aged, they can't afford their meds because of the scheme devised between the bush** administration and the pharmaceutical companies.

The economy is booming. But only for the upper 10 or so percent. The CEO of a major stockbroker firm can get a 40 million bonus for this year. Joe Blow at Maytag gets his pink slip.

Sorry Bono, the reality is that we're all tapped out.

Printer Friendly | Permalink | | Top

VegasWolf (1000+ posts) 🔄 🖭 攝 🌆



Tue Dec-19-06 09:51 AM Response to Original message

12. The Las Vegas Review is pure shit. I wouldn't use this conservative rag



to catch bird shit. This is one awful newspaper!!!!

Printer Friendly | Permalink | | Top

DerBeppo (435 posts) 🖾 🛅 🎎

Tue Dec-19-06 10:48 AM Response to Reply #

16. Is anyone saying the opposite?

I think the overall credibility of the source only comes into play when there are multiple takes on the same story. I can't find any other more trusted sources saying anything but the same thing.

Printer Friendly | Permalink | | Top

VegasWolf (1000+ posts) 🔁 🛅 🏝 🎄

Tue Dec-19-06 12:07 PM Response to Reply

18. They gave me a free trial for this paper. After two weeks of Ann Coulter and J.C. Watts



Edited on Tue Dec-19-06 12:12 PM by VegasWolf

and non-biased support for Bush and any and all conservative opinions, I told them to stop my free service. To put it mildly, this paper sucks royally! They are completely out of touch with the demographics of 2006 Las Vegas. But they represent the rural farm belt evangelicals perfectly well. Like all dinosaur media, they are getting desperate for money.

Printer Friendly | Permalink | | Top

Freddie Stubbs (1000+ posts) 喧 🔄 🖺 🚣 🎥



Tue Dec-19-06 10:07 AM Response to Original mes

13. Better to not make promises which are difficult to keep than to



raise unrealistic expectations.

Printer Friendly | Permalink | | Top

gratuitous 🔅 (1000+ posts) 🔄 🛅 🆺 🦺

Tue Dec-19-06 10:30 AM Response to Original

14. Bush is big on promises -- ask New Orleans

But a little short on performance, if you know what I mean. I, too, would like to see the Democrats make this commitment, but I think it's wise of Reid not to make a commitment at this time. We're only just now getting the hard evidence of the Republican malfeasance that we've suspected and had glimpses of for the last dozen or so years. A number of government agencies are suddenly finding their voice, and telling us that things are worse than we even imagined. Maybe a whole lot worse.

There may have to be some major remodeling work done on our own house before we can devote time to outreach. I note, however, that the writers talk about Bono's "disappoinment" and "alarm" because the Democratic leadership didn't make any promises for down-the-line that they might not be able to keep. I wonder what the tone of the article would have been had Reid and Pelosi said, "Sure Bono, how much do you need?" Would it have been "those tax-and-spend Democrats are giving away the store"? You don't suppose the major media will adopt a damned-if-they-do, damned-ifthey-don't style in reporting on the Democratic majority in Congress, do you?

Case 2:10-cv-01356-RLH-RJJ Document 48-2 Filed 12/07/10 Page 6 of 8





His criticism of the Democratic leadership irks me.

Printer Friendly | Permalink | | Top

Cha 🔅 (1000+ posts) 🔄 🖺 🎩

Tue Dec-19-06 03:59 PM Response to Reply #22

27. Whatever,



bono.

Printer Friendly | Permalink | | Top

Tue Dec-19-06 03:03 PM Response to Original message

25. Bono thinks "no more earmarks" shouldn't apply to him

Bono used the funky pay to play routine to get his resolution from the glad handed GOP. Now he is crying to the media that all the money he gave to the GOP was all for naught, wiped out by the "no more earmarks" ruling.

Stupid Bono is now blaming the Dems for his wasted pay to play move.

Printer Friendly | Permalink | | Top

Cha 🕏 (1000+ posts) 🔤 🛅 🎎

Tue Dec-19-06 03:57 PM Response to Original message

26. Hey bono! All our fucking money



is going to killing in IRaq.

Printer Friendly | Permalink | | Top

truthisfreedom (1000+ posts) 🔄 🛅 🎄

Tue Dec-19-06 04:32 PM Response to Original message

28. Hey Bono, maybe if you had the balls to stand up to these neanderthals that emptied the till



and used it to play war in the mideast, we might get behind your causes. You wanna play all ends to the middle, and we've got this enormous mess to clean up over here. Ask again in a couple of years, mmm-kay?

 $\underline{\mathsf{Printer Friendly}} \mid \underline{\mathsf{Permalink}} \mid \ | \ \underline{\mathsf{Top}}$

Megahurtz (1000+ posts) 🔄 🖺 🎄 🎎

Tue Dec-19-06 05:19 PM Response to <u>Original message</u>

30. Why don't you work on Anti-Poverty in THIS Country,



Bone-Head?

Printer Friendly | Permalink | | Top

DU AdBot (1000+ posts) 🔄 🖺 🏖

Tue Dec 07th 2010, 09:11 PM Response to Original message

Las Vegas Review-Journal: Democrats leave Bono disappointed: No promise of funds - Democratic Undergro... Page 7 of 7

Case 2:10-cv-01356-RLH-RJJ Document 48-2 Filed 12/07/10 Page 8 of 8

Advertisements [2]		
		<u>Top</u>
	Big Forums	Go
Home » Discuss » Latest Breaking News		DONATE
	Powered by DCForum+ Version 1.1 Copyright 1997-2 Software has been extensively modified by the	

Important Notices: By participating on this discussion board, visitors agree to abide by the rules outlined on our Rules page. Messages posted on the Democratic Underground Discussion Forums are the opinions of the individuals who post them, and do not necessarily represent the opinions of Democratic Underground, LLC.

<u>Home</u> | <u>Discussion Forums</u> | <u>Journals</u> | <u>Store</u> | <u>Donate</u>

About DU | Contact Us | Privacy Policy

Got a message for Democratic Underground? Click here to send us a message.

© 2001 - 2010 Democratic Underground, LLC

EXHIBIT C


















Site search

Search

(Las Vegas) Review-Journal publisher Sherman Frederick, editor Thomas Mitchell out



This topic is archived First thread | Last thread

Home » Discuss » Latest Breaking News

How can you benefit with the Scholar Share College Savings Plan?







Newsjock 🔅 (1000+ posts) 📮 둳 🎄 🎄



Fri Nov-12-10 03:56 PM Original message

(Las Vegas) Review-Journal publisher Sherman Frederick, editor Thomas Mitchell out

Edited on Fri Nov-12-10 04:03 PM by Newsjock

Fidelity Brokerage Services, Member NYSE, SIPC 545109.1

Source: Las Vegas Sun

In an apparent shake-up at the highest ranks of the Las Vegas Review-Journal, the newspaper announced today that Publisher Sherman Frederick has left the post and will become a consultant and columnist, and Editor Thomas Mitchell was reassigned from newsroom boss to senior opinion editor.

Frederick also was replaced as CEO of Stephens Media, the owner of the R-J. He was replaced by Michael Ferguson, the chief operating officer of Stephens Media. Ferguson in turn named Bob Brown, the newspaper's advertising director since 2001, as the new publisher, according to the newspaper.

The changes came as a surprise to R-J reporters, who said there was no advance warning before the news was published on the R-J's website.



... Both Frederick and Mitchell made no secret of their journalistic focus on ousting Senate Majority Leader Harry Reid from office, a fact noted in national media for months.

Read more: http://www.lasvegassun.com/news/2010/nov/12/review-jour... -/

Printer Friendly | Permalink | | Top

Ads by Google	Las Vegas	Free Las Vegas	Lake Las Vegas NV	Las Vegas Nevada	<u>Las Vegas Maps</u>		
Replies to this thread							
-La You've linked to the s	ite and used the	r subject line. I don'	t think that's a good	d idea. n/t	Ian David	Nov-12-10 03:58 PM	#1
The Sun just put up their version of the story			Newsjock	Nov-12-10 04:02 PM	#2		
Good idea.:) Nobody should ever link to LVRJ EVER. n/t				Ian David	Nov-12-10 04:17 PM	#3	
1 have been wondering how long the Stephens family was going to let this go on.			LiberalArkie	Nov-12-10 05:14 PM	#4		
Do the Stephens ov	vn that rag? If so	o, that may explain t	he aggressive litigat	tion_	leveymg	Nov-13-10 01:16 AM	#5

Ian David 🛱 (1000+ posts)







Fri Nov-12-10 03:58 PM Response to Original message

1. You've linked to the site and used their subject line. I don't think that's a good idea. n/t

Response to Reply #1

Obama'08

Printer Friendly | Permalink | | Top

Newsjock 🕏 (1000+ posts) 👨 🔄 🍱



Edited on Fri Nov-12-10 04:17 PM by Ian David



Fri Nov-12-10 04:02 PM

2. The Sun just put up their version of the story

Edited on Fri Nov-12-10 04:04 PM by Newsjock

Case 2:10-cv-01356-RLH-RJJ Document 48-3 Filed 12/07/10 Page 3 of 3

I am going to replace the source now with a far better one! (The original version of this post contained a link to the LVRJ story.)

Printer Friendly | Permalink | | Top

Ian David 🕸 (1000+ posts) 👨 🔄 🖺 🎄



Fri Nov-12-10 04:17 PM Response to Reply #2

3. Good idea. :) Nobody should ever link to LVRJ EVER. n/t



Edited on Fri Nov-12-10 04:18 PM by Ian David



LiberalArkie 🙀 (900 posts) 🔤 🛅 🖺 🦺

Fri Nov-12-10 05:14 PM Response to Original mes

4. I have been wondering how long the Stephens family was going to let this go on.



The Stephens do not like and bad publicity at all.

Printer Friendly | Permalink | | Top

leveymg 🌣 (1000+ posts) 📮 🔄 🖺 🏖



Sat Nov-13-10 01:16 AM Response to Reply #4

5. Do the Stephens own that rag? If so, that may explain the aggressive litigation





Edited on Sat Nov-13-10 01:16 AM by leveymg

The Stephens have been corrupting both political parties and American politics for decades. They're an agent of the Chinese and Saudis, and an integral part of the BFEE.

Printer Friendly | Permalink | | Top

DU AdBot (1000+ posts)

Tue Dec 07th 2010, 09:12 PM Response to Original mes

Advertisements [?]