IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No. 8:11-cr-00091-RWT
v.	Judge: Hon. Roger W. Titus
WILLIAM LAWRENCE CASSIDY,	
Defendant.)))

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE BRIEF OF AMICUS CURIAE ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS

The Electronic Frontier Foundation ("EFF"), by and through undersigned counsel, respectfully moves for leave to file an amicus curiae brief in the above-captioned matter.¹ Counsel for defendant William Lawrence Cassidy has consented to the filing of this brief, the United States advised that it is unable to consent at this time due to a recent transfer of counsel.

The Electronic Frontier Foundation ("EFF") is a non-profit, member-supported digital civil liberties organization. With more than 14,000 dues-paying members, including 345 paying members in Maryland, and more than 900 Maryland subscribers to EFF's weekly e-mail newsletter, *EFFector*, EFF represents the interests of technology users in both court cases and in broader policy debates surrounding the application of law in the digital age, and publishes a comprehensive archive of digital civil liberties information at www.eff.org. As part of its mission, EFF has served as counsel or amicus in cases addressing free speech online. *See e.g., Free Speech Coalition v. Holder*, No. 2:09-cv-04607-TJS (Dec. 7, 2009); *Savage v. Council on American-Islamic Relations, Inc.*, No. C 07-06076 SI (N.D. Cal. Dec. 3, 2007); *Commonwealth*

¹ Because there is no local rule on point, EFF submits this brief consistent with Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 29 and Fourth Circuit Local Rule 29.

of Kentucky v. 141 Internet Domain Names, No. 2008 CA-002000-OA (Ky. Ct. App. Jan. 20,

2009).

EFF's interest in this case is the sound and principled application of the First Amendment

to online speech. As technology advances, more people rely on the Internet to communicate not

only with one another, but also to express ideas and opinions about public figures and current

events to society at large. EFF submits this brief to help the Court apply First Amendment

principles in a manner that protects the constitutional rights of those who use technology to

communicate.

For these reasons, EFF respectfully asks this Court to grant leave to file the

accompanying brief of amicus curiae in support of the defendant's Motion to Dismiss the

Indictment on the Grounds that the Portion of 18 U.S.C. § 2261A(2)(A) Charged Therein is

Unconstitutionally Overbroad and Vague and on the Grounds that the Indictment Fails to State

an Offense.

Dated: July 29, 2011.

Respectfully submitted,

HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP

By: M Charles D. Tobin (#15919)

Drew E. Shenkman (#28886)

2099 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20006

Phone: (202) 955-3000

Fax: (202) 955-5564

Charles.tobin@hklaw.com

Drew.shenkman@hklaw.com

Attorneys for Amicus Curiae the Electronic Frontier Foundation

2

Of Counsel:

ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION
Matthew Zimmerman, Esq.
mattz@eff.org
Marcia Hofmann, Esq.
Marcia@eff.org
Hanni Fakhoury, Esq.
hanni@eff.org
454 Shotwell Street
San Francisco, CA 94110
T: (415) 436-9333
F: (415) 436-9993
www.eff.org

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on July 29, 2011, a copy of the foregoing was sent by First Class Mail, Postage Prepaid to:

Ebise Bayisa, Esq. Lauren Case, Esq. Office of the Federal Public Defender 6411 Ivy Lane Ste 710 Greenbelt, MD 20770 Bryan E. Foreman, Esq. David I. Salem, Esq. Office of the US Attorney 6500 Cherrywood Ln Ste 400 Greenbelt, MD 20770-1249